1 (4.30 pm)

2 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon. 4 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Good afternoon. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, good afternoon to our witness and good afternoon to those of you in the room. We have finished 6 our hearing from the Prime Minister about his period as 7 8 Chancellor and Prime Minister and we are now going to 9 hear from the Rt Hon Douglas Alexander MP. Welcome. RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Thank you. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: You have been Secretary of State for 11 International Development since June 2007, I understand. 12 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: That's correct. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Our objectives in this session are to explore 14 DFID policy, development and its implementation during 15 the period from June 2007 until July 2009, which is the 16 expiry of our period of terms of reference. 17 I say this on each occasion. We recognise that 18 witnesses are giving evidence based on their 19 recollection of events, and we, of course, cross-check 20 21 what we hear against papers to which we have access. 22 I remind every witness that they will later be asked 23 to sign a transcript of their evidence to the effect 24 that the evidence they have given is truthful, fair and 25 accurate.

1 With that beginning, Mr Alexander, can I go straight in? You took over as Secretary of State in June 2007 2 and you had a programme at that time focused, as 3 I understand it, at three levels: nationally in Baghdad, 4 regionally in Basra province, but also international to 5 try and leverage more effort and support from the IFIs 6 and other donors. 7 8 Could you say a little about the strategic 9 objectives of DFID when you arrived, and then we might come 10 on to how they shifted after that? RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: I think you have captured, 11 Sir John, the main thrust of our work in Iraq at the 12 time. It was a period of transition, when I arrived in 13 the department, for Britain's engagement in Iraq. There 14 were probably two central events in the immediate months 15 that followed that defined the context in which we were 16 17 working. Firstly, of course, there was the transition to 18 Provincial Iraqi Control of Basra itself which took 19 place in December of 2007, when I actually visited Iraq, 20 21 and, secondly, the Charge of the Knights, which 22 significantly changed the security situation. 23 I think it would be fair to say that both of those 24 events reflected, on the one hand, a changing security 25 context, and, on the other hand, a greater degree of

1 control being assumed by the Iraqi Government itself. Within that context we were keen to support those 2 efforts to build the capacity of the Iraqi Government to 3 manage its own affairs, working alongside our colleagues 4 in the military, principally in the south, to try and 5 support the infrastructure which had been badly damaged 6 as a consequence of the 30 years of misrule that had 7 8 preceded the conflict in 2003. 9 THE CHAIRMAN: There was a balance to be struck, wasn't there, between the national, regional and, indeed, 10 international activity? 11 Was the balance, as you say, through this transition 12 having to move from a greater emphasis on one or the 13 other, more or less regional in Basra, I suppose? 14 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: I think there was a change 15 during my time as Secretary of State, which reflected 16 those dynamics that I have described, both the 17 increasing assumption of authority by the 18 19 Iraqi Government and also the changing security context in the south. 20

21 We were, in the first instance, obviously supporting 22 the international community's efforts in terms of 23 humanitarian support, but actually the descent into 24 sectarian violence that came around 2005 and 2006 25 required a continuation of those humanitarian efforts,

but at the same time, in Basra itself we were, largely
 thanks to the initiative of the new Prime Minister
 Gordon Brown, focused on creating opportunities for work
 and for employment in particular and bringing investment
 into the south.

Over time, it became apparent that some of the 6 biggest blockages to that economic development in the 7 8 south was actually the relationship with the centre, and 9 whether that was the capacity of departments in Baghdad 10 to send money south in terms of reconstruction, whether it was in some cases legislative blockages in terms of 11 barriers to investment, it was clear that the whole of 12 Iraq strategy that we were pursuing was going to be a 13 very necessary component even of meeting the part of our 14 obligations that were particularly extended towards the 15 16 south.

17 THE CHAIRMAN: You mentioned the Prime Minister's own sense 18 of priority which needed to attach to a particular 19 economic development, and in particular in Basra and the 20 southeast.

This had been anyway part of DFID's existing strategy. Was it essentially a matter of injecting more political leadership and drive from the Prime Minister, and indeed yourself, or was it actually a shift of priority and balance as well?

1 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: I think it was a combination of 2 both. Of the Prime Minister's own experience, I know 3 that he has addressed the Committee today, but my sense 4 is he drew on his experience of the situation in Northern Ireland, where he had been involved in 5 a post-conflict situation and himself recognised the 6 importance of economic opportunity and transitioning 7 8 people away from the path of violence towards more 9 normal livelihoods.

10 He had been involved in his time in the Treasury in terms of a paper, you know, the Balls Cunliffe report on 11 economic development within the Middle East, and I think 12 that influenced his thinking as well, but we were also 13 clear that, as part the transitioning that was taking 14 place more generally in Iraq, we did need to make sure 15 that there were real opportunities for legal livelihoods 16 to be pursued at a time when the militias were still 17 very active in the south as well. 18

19 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DFID was one of the main instruments 20 for carrying forward the Prime Minister's sense of where 21 the priority ought to lie with you, as Secretary of 22 State, but there were other government departments as 23 well. Did they, as it were, respond with the same speed 24 and in the same direction? I'm thinking here of the 25 role, which we haven't had much evidence about yet, of

1 UKTI, jointly owned I think by BIS and the FCO. 2 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: I think there was a sequence. I think the first point to acknowledge would be, 3 preceding my arrival as Secretary of State in DFID, the 4 Treasury had already been involved in the sense that 5 I think one of the areas of real progress had been the 6 transition in relation to the currency, the 7 8 stabilisation of the economy and the writing off of 9 debt, all of which preceded my time, but were significant in terms of the transition I have described, 10 and, given the Treasury's lead in relation to IMF 11 policy, that's a good example of another department 12 taking a key role. 13 In terms of UKTI, I returned from one of my visits 14

to Iraq convinced that actually there was both a need and an opportunity for the engagement of UKTI. We fulfilled our obligations, I feel, as DFID, working with Michael Wareing in particular, the former chief executive of KPMG at the Prime Minister's instigation to create opportunities for international investment.

At the same time, there was, I felt, real opportunities for UKTI to involve itself in a changing situation within Iraq, providing opportunities for British direct inward investment, and indeed, after conversations that I had with General David Petraeus on

1 my first visit to Iraq, which was in December 2007¹, 2 I returned and encouraged the then BERR, now 3 BIS Ministry, to engage and I'm glad to say that, with 4 the involvement of Peter Mandelson as the Secretary of 5 State, we saw an uplift, indeed an engagement by UKTI 6 which reflected the transition and the process that was 7 underway.

8 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Just one side point, our 9 stenographer needs to keep up, and both of us actually could slow down. It would help, I think. Thank you. 10 I wanted to ask one thing about the balance and 11 direction of priorities at the time you took office 12 because DFID was, as part of its mandate, seeking to 13 promote economic progress nationally across Iraq. 14 A great push in Basra and the south-east itself. How 15 does that reconcile? Because Michael Wareing's 16 activities, for example, are specifically Basra-based. 17 How did DFID pursue and promote its wider Iraq concern 18 19 with investment?

20 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Iraq was a very distinctive 21 environment in which DFID was working for a number of 22 years. Of course, the service and the sacrifice of 23 British troops, to which I pay tribute today, made it 24 a very distinctive environment, but also it is important 25 to recollect people often bracket Iraq and Afghanistan

¹ The discussion with General Petraeus and subsequent follow up with Lord Mandelson actually took place during and immediately after Mr Alexander's second visit to Iraq in November 2008.

1 together. Afghanistan is one of the poorest countries 2 on earth. Iraq, in fact, was a lower middle-income country in the Middle East, with a quite highly 3 educated population that had been laid low by many 4 decades of misrule. But it was a different and 5 distinctive challenge to try and get a potentially 6 petroleum-rich, middle-income country in the Middle East 7 8 back on its feet than some of the more conventional 9 development challenges that we have faced in other 10 lower-income countries.

In that sense, we spent about £100 million, if 11 I recollect, on infrastructure, essentially trying to 12 make sure that some of the infrastructure that had been 13 degraded during those years, principally in the south, 14 the water supply, the electricity supply, got back to 15 a more functional level, of which we made some progress. 16 But in terms of the whole of Iraq approach, working out 17 of Baghdad, that was not principally an issue of 18 resource transfer, because, actually, the big challenge 19 that we faced was assisting the Government of Iraq to be 20 21 able to spend its own income. In that sense it was 22 different from other countries where the principal focus is in direct resource transfer. 23

24Now, of course, Iraq was the largest bilateral25programme for DFID in 2003 - 2004. There was

a significant injection of resources to provide
 humanitarian support, but that tailed off quite quickly
 in recognition of the fact that the most pressing and
 urgent challenge was to facilitate the ability of the
 Government of Iraq to spend its own resources
 effectively.

7 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. I was going to ask, on taking 8 office -- I imagine you would, as any Secretary of State 9 would be minded to do, just stand back and look at the 10 existing strategy and programmes and see where you 11 wanted to shift them, and, indeed, to reflect the 12 Prime Minister's sets of priorities.

You have got a rundown in DFID's Iraq programme in just the two numbered years I have got from 60 million, 2006/2007, down to about half of that or a bit more in the following year, reflecting what you are saying.

Was this, as it were, simply the continuation -- as 17 perhaps you have just implied -- of reflecting the 18 19 reality of Iraq's position and potential, as an economy, as against DFID's, can I say, normal customers across 20 21 the world, needing development on a much different and 22 larger scale proportionately, or was this a decision you 23 actually had to take or retake explicitly, bring down 24 the level of spend, reflecting Iraq's place in the 25 general table of need?

1 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: No, I certainly wouldn't 2 suggest the latter to the extent that the external resource transfer is not an accurate indication of the 3 4 priority we attached to Iraq, in the sense that we continued to have a very strong focus. I think it would 5 be an accurate reflection to say that I have spent more 6 time, as Secretary of State, on Iraq and Afghanistan 7 8 respectively than any other two countries within the 9 DFID portfolio.

For example, after those conversations with David Petraeus in December 2007, we gave a lot of thought to how we could support an Iraqi civilian surge that needed to be taken forward in relation to the changing situation in the south.

So in that sense, the external resource transfer was, if you like, a reflection, as you suggest, of the fact that there were significant resources available within Iraq, but it wasn't an accurate reflection of the priority we attached to Iraq. That was very much a continuing focus of our work.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. That's a helpful comment, indeed very helpful, because if we are looking at this UK strategic objectives towards Iraq for the whole of the latter part of our period, it would be a mistake for us to see the rundown in the numbers of external transfer

1 into Iraq as being an indicator of our priority in or activity about Iraq's needs. We should look instead 2 elsewhere, which is the contribution made in, what, 3 capacity building, in general support, in the investment 4 initiatives? Is that right? 5 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: I think that's exactly right. 6 I think a combination of the statement that the 7 8 Prime Minister made in terms of the specific economic 9 initiatives; and the three-legged strategy that we had set 10 out, if you like, the engagement of the international community, working at a national level to build capacity 11 and particularly engaging on the issue of infrastructure 12 in the south, were a continuing focus of our work, even 13 as the level of resources reflected the growing capacity 14 of the Government of Iraq to spend its own resources. 15 Indeed one of the clearest metrics I think of the 16 progress we were making, rather than downgrading our 17 18 engagement, the success that the engagement was yielding, was that, in 2006, the Basra 19 20 Provincial Council was actually able to programme only 21 \$24 million. By 2008, that was up to \$300 million. By 22 2008, they were running about 800 development projects 23 themselves. That, for us was a metric of our progress 24 rather than of our own disengagement. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Just before I close and turn to Sir Lawrence,

that metric reflects things like fixing the capability of central government in Iraq to conduct a budgetary and an allocation set of processes, and to enable funds to be released down to the provincial level, and the capacity of the provinces to be able to absorb central funding?

RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: That's correct. One of the 7 8 phrases that I struggled with on my arrival in DFID was 9 "capacity building", and I have to say I cast a sceptical eye over any submission that said, "This 10 money is going to capacity building". I have to say 11 I now have the zealotry of a convert in relation to 12 capacity building, because, actually, it was the often 13 unglamorous but vital work of supporting, in this case 14 15 the Basra Provincial Council, and, at a Baghdad level, the Government of Iraq, whether the Finance Ministry, 16 whether the Cabinet Office, which we continued to 17 support, anticipating the elections in a couple of days' 18 time in Iraq, that was itself critical to the progress 19 20 that the Basrawis and the Iraqis more generally were 21 actually making. 22

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Sir Lawrence, over to you.
SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: Thanks very much. I think in all
the discussions the Charge of the Knights appears as
a sort of turning point and I want to ask you about the

1 period before the Charge of the Knights.

I'm going to start by asking about the objective of 2 DFID to internationalise the effort by encouraging other 3 donors, particularly the international financial 4 institutions to increase their engagement, and I would 5 just be interested, to start with, in which 6 international institution partners did you discuss Iraq 7 8 with when you became Secretary of State? 9 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: As I said, preceding my arrival there had been a great deal of work continued 10 with the IMF. We had had a debt deal of approximately 11 \$31 billion in debt relief. Macroeconomic stability had 12 largely been secured by the time I arrived in June 2007 13 and the transition to the currency was fairly effective. 14 There were continuing humanitarian challenges, 15 indeed there are continuing humanitarian challenges, 16 about 2 million internally displaced people, about 17 2 million people displaced in external countries. So we 18 were working very centrally also with the United Nations 19 20 and about 4 million Iraqis were receiving humanitarian 21 support. 22 We were also engaged with the World Bank, and, 23 broadly, I think, if it is fair to acknowledge that while 24 there was significant progress made with the 25 International Monetary Fund and significant work

undertaken with the United Nations, it would be right to
 recognise that it was a more frustrating endeavour to
 work with the World Bank.

Now, I think there are very good reasons, in 4 retrospect, to explain both the impatience we felt and 5 the lack of progress that was evident. I think that is 6 a combination of, first of all, the very difficult 7 8 environment at the time. I think it is hard to 9 overstate the significance of the Canal Hotel bombing 10 in terms of the engagement of the international community generally, which preceded my time but the 11 shadow of which was still cast over the international 12 institutions. 13

14 Secondly, I think there was, notwithstanding the 15 continued lobbying by our department of the World Bank, 16 a degree of scepticism within other members of the 17 World Bank as to the priority that should be attached to 18 working in Iraq at this time.

19 Thirdly, I can -- and this is a broader lesson of 20 the whole period -- it was never going to be an easy 21 transition moving from an immediate post-conflict period 22 into the long-term reconstruction at which the 23 World Bank specialises, and actually, I think, to be 24 fair to the World Bank, in part because of deterioration 25 of the security situation and in part because of the

1 lack of capacity of the Iraqi Government itself at that 2 stage to spend its money effectively, some of the more 3 normal engagement of the World Bank in more benign and 4 more peaceful working environments was made extremely 5 difficult and extremely challenging.

In that sense the World Bank basically has two 6 offers that it makes to countries such as this. One 7 8 would be long-term infrastructure and investment and the 9 second would be technical support. We have seen some real progress in relation to technical support in recent 10 years, but I think it is fair to acknowledge there was 11 a gap in those early years in terms of the capacity of 12 the World Bank, representing the international community 13 and the Government of Iraq, to be able to align their 14 capacities to start delivering the longer-term 15 investment that was undoubtedly needed. 16 SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: So what were you able to do to 17 18 overcome these sorts of limitations of the World Bank? RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Partly in relation to 19 20 infrastructure, we had a somewhat different approach 21 from the United States. They essentially had a capacity provision approach. We had a capacity building 22 23 approach. Essentially, the distinction being that we 24 were, from the outset, in the business of supporting the 25 capacity of the Government of Iraq to be able to deliver

1 its own services.

2	In the immediate post-conflict period of 2003, the
3	American approach was instead to provide that capacity
4	directly rather than to try to generate it internally
5	and my sense is, if you look, for example, at the many
6	hundreds of pages of Hard Lessons, the report that has
7	been produced within the United States itself, that
8	there was over time a recognition that the approach that
9	we had adopted at an earlier stage of partly saying,
10	"How can we fix the infrastructure that's there?",
11	rather than bring in very significant, and expensive at
12	times, consultants to advise on longer-term
13	infrastructure projects, both brought immediate support
14	in relation to infrastructure, but also put in place the
15	foundations on which capacity could be built, and in
16	that sense I think there was a movement over time with
17	the Americans, although there is still a distinctive
18	approach.
19	If you take for example, the investment conferences

19 If you take, for example, the investment conferences 20 that we have been supportive of with UKTI, there was an 21 investment conference held fairly recently in 22 Washington, where the Americans laid on the whole 23 conference themselves. Our approach has always been to 24 say, "How can we support the Iraqis in building their 25 own capability, for example, to welcome in investment?"

SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: I was going to ask more questions
 about the Americans later, but maybe, as you have raised
 it, I'll ask a couple now. But I would like to come
 back to the World Bank as well.

5 Of course, the Americans are in a better position to 6 provide capacity because they have a lot more of it 7 themselves. So when you are talking about these 8 different approaches, I'm just interested in the effect 9 the disparity of resource makes in terms of our ability 10 to influence the Americans.

11 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: My recollection is that the 12 ratio is approximately 20:1 in terms of civilian aid to 13 Iraq, and in that sense I think that adds a degree of 14 perspective to the relative contribution that was 15 available financially.

I think there is a second point, though. It is not 16 simply a difference of scale, the second point is that 17 18 there has been a fairly diverse range of actors on the 19 stage in the United States in terms of this work, while 20 undoubtedly in Iraq there was a very strong lead taken 21 by the Pentagon -- indeed, Condoleezza Rice observes in 22 that "Hard Lessons" report that, in retrospect, they put 23 an awful lot of eggs in that one particular basket --24 There was an engagement by USAID, there was an 25 engagement by the State Department, there was an

engagement by the Department of Defence, there was an
 engagement, earlier on of course, by the coalition
 authorities and the White House as well.

4 One of the challenges that I know that -- from 5 having seen the evidence that Hilary Benn, my 6 predecessor, gave, and I would echo these sentiments, it 7 wasn't always immediately apparent to us to whom we 8 should liaise and act as interlocutors to within the 9 American system.

As I say, I met David Petraeus on my first visit to 10 Iraq. I met him on occasions subsequently. I have also 11 dealt with Ryan Crocker, the US Ambassador in Baghdad. 12 So in that sense we had no difficulty securing a high 13 level of access on particular occasions, but on the 14 other hand, it wasn't always immediately apparent to us 15 as to who was holding the ball within the American 16 system on this very large and significant reconstruction 17 18 effort.

19 SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: Also, in terms of the US/UK 20 relationship, the Americans at this time when you have 21 mentioned Petraeus are surging -- and you used the 22 phrase "civilian surge" before -- but we are not, 23 Britain is not surging, so the whole sense of British 24 engagement and American engagement at this point is 25 diverging.

1 Did you feel that that had an effect at all? 2 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: I wouldn't recognise that description. We were very supportive of the need for 3 4 a civilian surge following the military surge. We were, 5 however, clearly of the view that that civilian surge should be an Iraqi civilian surge. In fact, if 6 I recollect, if you look at the number of personnel 7 8 supporting DFID's work in the south at that period, there actually was a spike, an increase, largely 9 10 a number of consultants that we put in after those conversations that I had with David Petraeus in December 11 of 2007. 12

But their task was really to support the efforts of the Basrawis and to build the kind of capacity that I was describing. We were also working very closely with Michael Wareing to try to support his efforts in terms of supporting the Basrawis.

SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: I'm just wondering about the realism 18 19 of a lot of this effort at this time. Obviously, I can 20 see how now it may seem slightly different, but at the 21 time you were trying to build up the capacity of the 22 Iraqi Government at the central level to translate oil 23 resources into positive progress for its citizens, 24 services, jobs, energy, to try to deal locally as well. 25 But the politics of the time in Baghdad and the

1 relationship between Baghdad and Basra, as you have
2 already indicated, was against this. It was making it
3 extremely difficult. So does the technical capacity for
4 building work so well if you can't get the politics
5 right?

RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: It is a question that 6 reflected almost verbatim one of the questions I asked 7 8 David Petraeus that evening when we had dinner in the 9 British Residence in December 2007, because, from my point of view, it seemed that we needed both additional 10 capacity within the Iraqi system and political 11 leadership. It wasn't an either/or. If we had 12 additional capacity without the political leadership, it 13 would still be very problematic to deliver the kind of 14 economic development to which we were committed. 15

16 It was a very useful conversation to me in 17 reinforcing the sense that, while it was vital we do 18 what we could in the south, that itself would not 19 compensate for, at that stage, the extent to which 20 politics in Baghdad were stuck.

In that sense it was necessary to have economic -we were at risk of a chicken and egg situation and I'm glad to say that we managed to make progress through it, as the results indicated, but we were in a position where it was easier to make the case for a different

kind of politics, if there was tangible economic
 benefits and a progress being made, but equally, that
 economic progress was itself contingent on a change in
 the politics, and in that sense that was one of the
 issues that I discussed with David Petraeus.

We certainly were keen to play our part in building 6 the capacity within the Basra Provincial Council in 7 8 particular, but at the same time it did in turn find 9 reflection in the whole of Iraq approach that we were 10 taking, because he was very clear in the second visit that I paid to Baghdad that one of the challenges by 11 then was making sure that we were, if you like, 12 man-marking individual ministries within Baghdad in 13 terms of funds that should appropriately have been 14 flowing to Basra, and in that sense we needed to take an 15 approach that dealt with both ends of the line: that 16 there was the capacity for the Basra Provincial Council 17 to spend its money effectively, but, on the other hand, 18 19 to make sure that, when resources were stuck in Baghdad, 20 there was, working in this case very closely with our 21 colleagues in the Foreign Office, the capacity to try 22 and unlock those resources.

23 SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: The unlocking process required 24 political action -- you have just mentioned the 25 Foreign Office there. Obviously, we will be seeing

1 David Miliband on Monday, but I'm just interested in how you and the Foreign Secretary worked together to get 2 this sort of movement so you could live your objectives 3 4 better. 5 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: David and I, and, indeed, Des Browne, who was the Defence Secretary at the time 6 that David and I were appointed -- because he and I were 7 8 appointed at the same time at the end of June 2007 --9 worked very closely together and it might be helpful to the Committee if I shared with you how we sought to take 10 11 forward that approach. On our appointment, we resolved that we would meet 12 regularly, partly because Iraq was very high up on all 13 of our agendas. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry to interrupt. For my better 15 understanding, this was the three of you? 16 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: That's right. The three 17 Secretaries of State: Defence, the FCO, and DFID. 18 As I say, David and I had just been appointed, Des 19 20 had been in post for the preceding year under 21 Tony Blair. Candidly, it helped that we were genuinely 22 good friends and had known each other for many years. 23 I had very few politicians at my wedding back in 2001, 24 but amongst the congregation were Des Browne and 25 David Miliband. In that sense, that doesn't guarantee

1 that you work well together, but it certainly helps, and 2 in that sense the atmospherics from day one were 3 extremely good.

We were, secondly, keen to send out a clear and unequivocal message to our civil servants that we were united in our commitment to the comprehensive approach, and in that sense there is no clearer means by which you can manifest that than by saying, on a very regular basis, "We are going to meet, both informally and in formal structures, to evidence our joint working."

That meant that in the case of myself I travelled 11 in July of that year to Afghanistan and in December of 12 that year to Iraq. I think David, it was about the same 13 time, December, that he travelled to Iraq as well. 14 That was because we both resolved to get there fairly quickly 15 within the first few months, and to have the opportunity 16 to assess for ourselves where we were, whether we were 17 on track and how we were working well together. 18

19 I have to say that when I travelled, both to the PRT 20 in the south, at that time the contingency operating 21 base in Basra, and also worked with Christopher Prentice 22 in Baghdad on that first visit, it was very obvious to 23 me that the commitment at a ministerial level to work 24 closely together was matched by the people who were 25 actually on the ground, but I think on the basis of

several years as a minister and two and a half years as
 Development Secretary, the challenge in Whitehall
 working is usually not in theatre or, at the most,
 senior levels of ministers. It was actually the soggy
 centre, if you like.

That's why there was no single answer to that. It 6 was making sure that on a relentless and routine basis 7 8 we were meeting together, discussing together and making 9 sure that we were aligned, and it was very much in that 10 spirit that I worked first with David, and with Des, and then with both of Des's successors, with John Hutton 11 and now with the new Secretary of State for Defence 12 Bob Ainsworth. 13

SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: Just out of interest, you described 14 this as quite an effective working procedure. Do you 15 think that in itself is a lesson that might be learned? 16 Do you think it might have been better if a similar sort 17 of regular meeting had been in place beforehand? 18 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: My sense -- it would be for 19 20 others to speak with more authority in terms of the 21 period preceding my direct involvement -- was that the 22 DOP(I) committee structure was the mechanism by which 23 ministers came together. There was the Iraq Strategy 24 Group, if I recollect, the official level grouping. 25 Very soon after our arrival, the establishment of

1 the National Security, International Relations and Development Committee gave a formal structure by which 2 3 the informal relationships were also given expression, and I think -- again, it would be better to -- and I'm 4 sure you have taken the mind of the Prime Minister on 5 this, but I think there was a willingness on his part to 6 ensure that there were formal mechanisms. 7 8 I think it is difficult in any government to 9 legislate for that informal willingness to work 10 together, but I can just assure you that, in the case of the Secretaries of State with whom I have worked, there 11 was not simply formal engagement, which, of course, you 12 would expect, but also an informal determination to work 13 together to maximise the benefit. 14 SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: While you are having all these 15

meetings, going to Iraq, discussing with the World Bank, 16 with the Americans, out of all of this, did you see 17 18 a sense of an adjustment that needed to be made to the 19 strategy of your department, were there changes that you 20 would have wanted them to make as a result? 21 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: There was that transition over 22 a period of time from strong focus in the south to 23 a greater whole of Iraq approach, and indeed that's 24 reflected in our staffing in terms of what was a fairly 25 early sense that, not least, given the security

1 challenges that we were facing, that at the conclusion of the British military presence in the south, we would 2 more appropriately be deployed in Baghdad. That would 3 4 better reflect both established practice elsewhere, where we tended to work out of capitals -- It is really only in a 5 limited number of highly 6 federalised countries such as India where we would have 7 8 a significant presence outside of a country's capital -but also a recognition of the very real and practical 9 security constraints that were still upon our staff in 10 the south at that time. So whether it was in relation 11 to staffing, whether it was the balance of our focus 12 ensuring that we were working at the end of the line in 13 Baghdad as well as in Basra, that was a transition that 14 was taking place during this period. 15 SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: As you have indicated, this was 16 a pretty difficult time, security in Basra was at 17 a pretty low point at this point. Staff couldn't get 18 19 into Basra to meet with partners, develop programmes, 20 promote them. At the same time, the Prime Minister was 21 very keen on economic reconstruction. 22 Were you concerned that there was an expectation on 23 DFID of being asked to deliver a rather, at the time, 24 an unrealistically ambitious agenda, given the operating 25 context in which your staff were working?

1 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: It was certainly a challenging 2 operating environment. I wouldn't for a moment deny that. But I had talked a lot to the Prime Minister 3 4 about the economic initiatives that were then announced in terms of the Basra Development Commission, the 5 Investment Promotion Authority and the other agencies 6 and they recognised the challenges that were facing the 7 8 Basrawi economy at that time. In that sense, they were 9 consciously designed, given the immediate challenges that were facing us. In that sense, I did not have any 10 difficulty with the Commission. 11

Security was a considerable

12

constraint, but there was a very clear-eyed sense that we needed to get on with the job, and in that sense, on that first visit that I paid to the Contingency Operating Base, I literally stepped out of the aircraft and before I had had the chance to have my security briefing, the mortar alarm sounded and we were all thrown to the ground.

In that sense it was a very early indication of the kinds of risks that we were asking, not just our brave service personnel, but also civil servants operating within the Contingency Operating Base to endure on a day-and-daily basis. So in that sense the security considerations were real, but even at that stage there

was a great deal of ingenuity being applied to how to
 ensure, for example that, we could talk to Basrawis,
 bringing them into the contingency operating base and
 how investment conferences could be organised in Amman
 and take people outside of Iraq to look at those
 opportunities.

The contrast between the visit that I paid 7 8 in December 2007 and November 2008 could hardly have 9 been more stark. On the second visit, I was able to walk without body armour on the Corniche within 10 Basra City itself. This reflected the very 11 different security environment after Charge of the 12 Knights, but we were able to open downtown headquarters. 13 In the first visit that I had paid, while we were able 14 to initiate economic development along with 15 Prime Minister Maliki, that had taken place entirely 16 within the Contingency Operating Base and the Airport 17 18 complex. SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: How did your discussions with the 19

Iraqi central government go on this first visit?
Because obviously one of the issues is that Basra has
historically been neglected by Baghdad. What sort of
a sense did you get from Maliki about the overall
approach that was adopted to the country as a whole, in
particular, Basra?

1 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: It was one of his earliest 2 visits south when he came to Basra International Airport 3 for that meeting. We had a brief but warm exchange in 4 terms of what we were announcing that day and it was at that time taken as significant that both the local 5 Governor, and, indeed, the Prime Minister of Iraq were 6 putting their shoulder to the wheel in support of these 7 8 investment initiatives.

As I understand it, our own Prime Minister had 9 10 previously discussed these initiatives, the economic development initiatives, with Prime Minister Maliki and 11 12 in that sense there was support from the government for these initiatives being taken forward. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Could I just interject to ask: there had been 14 15 a period of very poor relations between Prime Minister Maliki, on the one hand, and 16 Governor Wa'ili on the other, a chronic one going back 17 18 into the past, and yet the two were able to come together in, if you like, a common cause at that 19 20 particular moment. 21 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: As I say, I remember it being 22 commented upon by our Ambassador at the time that this 23 was indeed an historic occasion, not simply because we 24 were launching this economic initiative, but actually

25 there on television was Prime Minister Maliki advocating

the importance of economic development within Basra,
 and, indeed, Governor Wa'ili, alongside him in that
 endeavour.

In that sense it would be for others to comment on
the period preceding my visit, but it was certainly
commented upon at the time.

7 THE CHAIRMAN: I do not want to overinterpret, but does that 8 imply that, as it were, real politics were beginning 9 displace factional and historic quarrels?

RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: I certainly recollect that we 10 sensed we were on a journey, that that particular event 11 12 was seen as being an important milestone, rather than it guaranteeing that a more normal politics would displace 13 the previous difficulties. It was certainly seen as 14 a hopeful sign. So I don't think we returned from that 15 visit with a sense of any guarantees, but certainly with 16 17 a sense of optimism.

18 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

19 SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: Just finally, you've talked about 20 the principle of Iraqi capacity building and the core 21 principle of DFID is that countries lead their own 22 development. Yet this has been described as the British 23 Prime Minister's economic initiatives. Shouldn't it 24 have been Prime Minister Maliki's economic initiatives? 25 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: As I say, these issues, were,

1 as I understand it, discussed prior to my arrival, but in the statement that he made there was no doubt that he 2 was associating himself fully with this initiative. 3 4 There were suggestions within our party that indeed he was benefiting politically from a clear recognition of 5 the importance of the Basrawi economy, and in that sense 6 there was no suggestion of either ambivalence or 7 8 scepticism on the part of Prime Minister Maliki when 9 I both met him at the airport, sat alongside him at lunch, or participated with him when he spoke at the 10 11 press conference. SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: What about the Basra Provincial 12 Government? You mentioned that they appeared together. 13 Did you get the sense that the Provincial Government was 14 up for this as well? 15 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: It was taken as significant at 16 the time, and I remember Christopher Prentice commenting 17 on the fact that Governor Wa'ili was there and was 18 19 participating in the ceremony at which the initiative 20 was launched. I wouldn't claim to be an expert in the 21 relationship between Prime Minister Maliki and 22 Governor Wa'ili at the time. It would probably be 23 better to seek the views of the Foreign Office on that 24 issue, but I certainly recollect it was commented upon 25 and seen, indeed, as a hopeful sign.

1 SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: Thank you very much.

2 THE CHAIRMAN: Thanks. Usha?

BARONESS USHA PRASHAR: Thank you very much. Can we now 3 4 move on to the question of delivery after the Charge of 5 the Knights? What impact did that have on the operations of DFID in Basra? 6 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Well, it certainly changed the 7 8 security context in which we were operating and in that 9 sense there was welcome alignment between the emphasis 10 that our own Prime Minister and Prime Minister Maliki had placed upon economic development and a changing 11 context in which more of that economic development 12 became possible. That helps explain the interest and 13 engagement, for example, of the international investment 14 15 community.

Prior to my service in the Department for 16 International Development, I had served in the 17 Foreign Office as Minister for Trade, Investment and 18 19 Foreign Affairs, and I remember at the time there being 20 real interest amongst international investors in the 21 potential to invest in Iraq. But essentially, that 22 interest, if you like, ran into the sand because the 23 security environment was so difficult that, where there 24 was interest, that interest couldn't be translated into 25 investment proposals, or, indeed, the capacity to get

1 into the country.

2	At this time we were working closely with the
3	British military in terms of facilitating inward
4	investors coming and having a look. We were putting in
5	place the capacity of an inward investment authority.
6	We drew on the expertise, for example, of the
7	Northern Ireland Development Agency who had some very
8	relevant experience in drawing inward investment in
9	post-conflict circumstances, and we were also working
10	very closely with Michael Wareing to try to generate
11	external investor interest.
12	I think it would have been inconceivable that that
13	interest would have been as great but for the changing
14	security situation that was apparent to investors in the
15	months and years following the Charge of the Knights
16	in March 2008.
17	BARONESS USHA PRASHAR: So what you are really saying is
18	that it accelerated the economic progress, the Charge of
19	the Knights?
20	RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Yes, I think that would be
21	fair.
22	BARONESS USHA PRASHAR: But were there other obstacles?
23	Because, for example, Maliki has announced a 100 million dollar
24	reconstruction fund for Basra, but he was reluctant to
25	work through the Provincial Government structures which

1 DFID had been trying to develop.

RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Yes, of course, there were 2 many other barriers. I mean, this is a part of Iraq 3 which, as you know, for many decades had suffered 4 5 differentially even within a country that had suffered greatly. This was a part of Iraq in which the 6 infrastructure had been badly degraded for many, many 7 8 years. One of the most graphic images I carry with me 9 after my visits was arriving at Basra Airport and seeing just countless flarings of gas from the gas fields 10 around the airport, none of which had the provision 11 either to capture the gas or to use it more effectively. 12 It was simply that the infrastructure hadn't been there. 13 If you look at the capacity even of the 14 Iraqi Government's own petroleum company to be able to 15 invest -- to spend the money that had been committed to 16 its own investment, it was still extremely constrained 17 18 at this time. So you had great infrastructural constraints. There were still significant constraints 19 20 in terms of the capacity of the both Basrawi 21 Provincial Council and also the government at the centre 22 in Baghdad. Thirdly, the business environment was far 23 from benign, in terms of the ability to rely on 24 contracts or even to be able to conduct business, given 25 the security situation.

1 So while the security situation undoubtedly was improving, there were still very significant barriers in 2 place, of which one continued to be the politics, and, 3 ultimately, in one of the conversations I had with 4 David Petraeus, he was at pains to emphasise that the 5 approach the Americans were taking by this stage, and he 6 was urging DFID and the FCO to take a similar approach, 7 8 was he said he was essentially at this stage deputising 9 one of his staff to be located within each of the relevant Iraqi ministries to try to chase progress, 10 either on individual pieces of legislation or on 11 individual contracts, and he said, "Frankly, unless we 12 are both working at a regional level and making sure 13 that we are there encouraging, imploring and trying to 14 ensure that there is a flow of resources from the 15 centre, we won't make the progress that we want to see." 16 BARONESS USHA PRASHAR: So did you work with Maliki to 17 engage with the Provincial Government? 18 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Yes, I did, and, indeed, on 19 20 the second visit that I paid to Iraq in November 2008 21 I remember having an at times challenging conversation 22 with Prime Minister Maliki where I was urging him to 23 move forward some of the contracts which were at that 24 stage sitting in Baghdad, but unapproved, which would 25 have had a big impact in terms of economic development

1 in the south.

2	In that sense I was gently suggesting that the work
3	of the Investment Authority had yielded very significant
4	potential, but that the challenge of translating that
5	potential into real investment and real contracts were
6	often being blocked by political constraints that were
7	still in place within the ministries.
8	BARONESS USHA PRASHAR: But you hinted that there was
9	a great engagement from the USA as well as in Basra
10	after Charge of the Knights. Did that sort of reinforce
11	our existing efforts, or did that create more challenges
12	for us?
13	RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: No, I have to say I think
14	the hint I was dropping was that actually within
15	ministries in Baghdad by that stage the Americans were
16	working very closely with those ministries to try to
17	encourage them in the flow of resources to Basra and to
18	other locations, and in that sense we worked very
19	closely.
20	There was, of course, ultimately a transition in
21	terms of the provincial reconstruction team in Basra to
22	the United States, but there have been comments given,
23	for example, by General Odierno that he had looked at
24	the experience of the British PRT in the south and seen

25 that as a model, and in that sense we had very close and

effective working relationships with the Americans.
 I never had any sense that there was any particular
 difficulty during my period in terms of working
 relationships within Basra.
 BARONESS USHA PRASHAR: So your visits between 2007 and 2008

when you went back, you saw a marked change in Basra? 6 Were people in Basra more optimistic? 7 8 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Yes, there were business 9 surveys which I think the Permanent Secretary for DFID has already shared with you in terms of the changing 10 business environment. So there are quantitative metrics 11 in terms of greater optimism, but anecdotally certainly 12 it was transformed. 13

Firstly, I was able to get into the city of Basra 14 which was simply not an avenue open to me the preceding 15 year. Secondly, there was a palpable sense of normality 16 about the Corniche, which is the main area where 17 18 Basrawis walk in the evening. I was able to stroll, 19 albeit with close protection, up to small traders who 20 were able themselves to describe the differences that 21 they had seen, even in recent months.

That was about six or seven months after the Charge of the Knights, that there was a palpable sense of a city returning to a very different way of life than had been the case prior to Charge of the Knights, where

1 certainly the reports that I was receiving indicated that it was a very challenging environment because of 2 3 the militias. 4 BARONESS USHA PRASHAR: I mean, you already hinted that the 5 progress in Basra to some extent was contingent on progress at the centre in terms of getting into the city 6 and all the facilities. Did you get greater traction at 7 8 the national level to help DFID investment in the south 9 after Charge of the Knights? RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: It was a continued process in 10 the sense that that conversation that I have just 11 referred to with Prime Minister Maliki took place 12 towards the end of 2008, Charge of the Knights was in 13 March, and at that stage, there were still a significant 14 number of contracts that were sitting in Baghdad 15 awaiting approval, but, on the other hand, we were by 16 that stage putting more and more emphasis into the 17 Baghdad end, given that we had built more and more 18 19 capacity at the Basra end. The first challenge, if you like, was to build the 20

capacity of the Basrawi authorities to be able to spend resources once that capacity was put in place. The challenge was to ensure a flow of resources, and that's a continuing progress. But I'm glad to say that there has been real progress made.

BARONESS USHA PRASHAR: But did you sense that more progress was being made to forge a more united government? RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Well, well, Iraq continues to be a very challenging environment in which to provide government, and as we contemplate the elections that are taking place in a couple of days, we are still seeing episodes of appalling violence.

8 I think there was a recognition on the part of all 9 of us involved in the British contribution to Iraq that 10 this was a very difficult period for the

II Iraqi Government establishing itself after the first elections, seeking to be able to provide services while recognising the very wide competing range of interests that it was trying to address.

I think it was my predecessor Hilary Benn who said before this Committee that there had been a very tight lid screwed on to that country, and, suddenly, when that lid came off, there was a whole range of competing interests and a huge number of different grievances that were legitimately being expressed.

21 So I think we had a recognition as to quite how 22 difficult an undertaking it was, governing Iraq in these 23 circumstances, but we were doing whatever we could at 24 a technical level to be able to provide the capacity for 25 the political leadership that was also necessary.

1 As I said earlier, there was a requirement to build the capacity of the Iraqi government but that in itself is 2 not a substitute for political leadership. 3 4 BARONESS USHA PRASHAR: Given the fact that there was better 5 security and you were beginning to see economic progress, did you manage to get other donors to engage 6 in Iraq at that time? 7 8 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Again, it was a continuing 9 process. I was looking at the figures only recently in 10 relation to the European Union for example, they didn't have at this stage a bilateral programme. They now have 11 a presence within Iraq. If you look at the World Bank, 12 we now effectively house the World Bank in the sense 13 that they are based within our offices within Baghdad. 14 The security constraints were still very real. 15 I wouldn't wish for a moment to diminish them, but there 16 was a continuing effort made to ensure under the 17 auspices of the United Nations that other donors were 18 19 joining. There had been the International Compact on Iraq agreed, if I recollect, immediately before my 20 21 arrival in DFID and that was really the framework under 22 which the international donor community was working. BARONESS USHA PRASHAR: And continued to increase the 23 investment? 24 25 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Yes, there has been continued

1 investment.

2 BARONESS USHA PRASHAR: Thank you.

3 THE CHAIRMAN: Can I ask Sir Martin Gilbert to take up the 4 questioning? Martin? 5 SIR MARTIN GILBERT: In 2008, UK ministerial discussion was focusing on achieving on military drawdown in 2009. The 6 FCO was leading on producing a long-term strategy for 7 8 Iraq, a transitioning towards a more normal bilateral 9 relationship and focusing on all of Iraq rather than the south. Prime Minister Maliki had himself asked us to 10 focus on all of Iraq. 11 Did you feel that your department was being asked to 12 hurry progress artificially in the southeast in order to 13 pursue the military drawdown timetable? 14 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: No, and your question is, in 15 fact, a reminder of something I should probably have 16 added to my descriptions of those conversations with 17 Prime Minister Maliki. In those conversations he was 18 19 urging a whole of Iraq approach. In that sense it 20 wasn't being driven either by a military timetable or by 21 internal Whitehall conversations. The move towards 22 a whole of Iraq approach was in part a recognition 23 of a very direct request that was being put by the Iraqi government itself. 24

25

No, I think the economic initiatives that we were

1 putting in place benefited from being articulated and then advanced in what was a changing security situation. 2 Had Charge of the Knights not changed the security 3 situation in the south, then I think the timescale on 4 which we could have seen the progress, whether in 5 relation to inward investment or training or employment, 6 or economic activity, would have been much more 7 8 difficult.

SIR MARTIN GILBERT: You spoke to us about your visit 9 in November 2008. You ultimately decided to close down 10 the DFID office in Basra after that visit. With the UK 11 military leaving, did you feel you had any other option 12 but to withdraw? You mentioned how you were able to 13 walk on the Corniche without body armour, but was 14 security still an issue in that decision? 15 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Yes, security was very clearly 16 an issue, but it was a combination of considerations, 17 security being one. The direct request of 18 19 Prime Minister Maliki being another and also a sense 20 that, on the basis of the conversations that I had had 21 and the advice I was receiving from officials, that the 22 main focus of our work looking to transition to that 23 more normal relationship would more appropriately be 24 centred in Baghdad than centred within Basra. 25 SIR MARTIN GILBERT: Perhaps I could look at this from

1 a slightly different angle. You have spoken and we have 2 heard from other DFID witnesses about the work done in 3 capacity building, and I'm wondering, given the 4 tremendous effort that was put in capacity building in 5 the Basra provincial authorities, were they as keen as 6 Prime Minister Maliki that DFID should shift away, that 7 there should be this move?

8 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Well, in part, capacity 9 building is designed to ensure your own exit as the donor. In that sense the capacity building that we were 10 undertaking from day one with the Basrawi authorities 11 were designed not to have a perpetual presence, but 12 instead to be able to provide the capability, for 13 example, to operate systems of financial accounting and 14 public financial management, and the fact that we saw 15 such a significant increase in the capacity of the 16 Basrawi authorities to be able to spend their own money 17 18 was evidence of the fact that that progress had been 19 made.

20 But in that sense we were from day one working to 21 design a system that was capable of being supported 22 without the direct engagement of the Department for 23 International Development.

24 SIR MARTIN GILBERT: So in that sense, were you satisfied 25 that your programmes would and were completed in the

1 time before leaving?

2 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Yes, we were monitoring 3 obviously all of the work that we were doing, both in 4 relation to capacity building within the government, 5 also in relation to the infrastructure that I spoke of about £100 million-worth of investment in relation to 6 infrastructure, and we have procedures whereby there is 7 8 formal handing over of that infrastructure and, indeed, 9 completion of that work, and there are evaluations that take place so that we can draw lessons within our own 10 department and within our own portfolio. 11

So in that sense I had no sense that we were working to an artificial timetable. We felt that there was an alignment between a sense of completion of the work that was being done and a reorientation towards that whole of Irag approach.

17 SIR MARTIN GILBERT: How would you assess the impact of the 18 projects?

19 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Well, there are evaluations, 20 which I'm sure will be available to the Committee in 21 terms of individual pieces of infrastructure, but 22 whether it is in relation to the greater provision of 23 electricity, whether it is in relation to the greater 24 provision of water to the Basrawi population, those are 25 gains that we are really proud to have contributed to.

1 Perhaps a less tangible, but nonetheless very important level, the changing business environment 2 3 itself has helped contribute to the economic growth that 4 has been secured, both in Basra and across Iraq, in recent years, and internally within government the 5 capacity of the Basrawi authorities by 2008 to be 6 running 800 development projects themselves we took as 7 8 testament to the capacity building work having yielded 9 results.

10 So there are technical assessments, but also the general 11 sense, from speaking to our teams on the ground, and 12 also reviewing the documentation in London, was that we had made a real and material contribution to the 13 well-being of the Basrawi population. 14 SIR MARTIN GILBERT: What specific agreements were you able 15 to secure with the provincial authorities to ensure that 16 the investments would be sustained and maintained? 17 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Obviously it is inherent in 18 19 the nature of the sovereignty of the Iraqi Government 20 that we are not in a position to say, "We are going to 21 build this road or build this water tower, and we will 22 take this action or that action if you don't maintain it 23 to a standard that we would wish", but there are 24 procedures which we followed, and we followed in Basra, 25 in relation to formally handing over authority, for

example, for the maintenance of projects on which we had worked. But, as I say, the fact that the Basrawi authorities set their own priorities thereafter is for us a necessary and welcome part of the re-establishment of authority by the Basrawis that we were working for from day one.

7 SIR MARTIN GILBERT: In April 2009, we handed over 8 leadership of the southern Provincial Reconstruction 9 Team, the PRT to the United States. What discussions 10 did you have with the United States to ensure that the 11 results of our efforts would be sustained during their 12 period of involvement?

13 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: We had anticipated for some 14 time that the PRT would be handed over to the Americans, 15 the expectation was that the Americans would come into 16 the south militarily as well. In that sense there was 17 a very orderly transition.

We should acknowledge of course that the PRT was 18 19 essentially an American concept that had first been 20 trialed in Afghanistan, and in that sense it was not an 21 unfamiliar structure to them. Indeed, they had a lot of 22 experience of it. I think what was distinctive in the 23 approach that we had taken was the PRT was civilian-led 24 and that's why, as I say, the comments that 25 General Odierno, the commanding officer of the American

1 forces made when he visited Basra were as striking as they were generous in saying that essentially he had 2 3 seen the future in what he had seen in terms of the PRT 4 in Basra. That gave us confidence that the approach that we had taken would be reflected in the way that the 5 Americans would continue the work -- if you will allow 6 me, I have found the exact quote. It was the commander 7 8 of US forces, General Odierno. He said:

9 "They [speaking of Basra] are completely integrated 10 down there and that really is the future, if we are 11 going to support the Iraqis in the right way next year. 12 Basra is the way forward."

Now, from the senior commanding officer of the American forces, that's pretty much as good as you can get in terms of a clear sense that the American military were not suggesting a very different approach. Indeed, they were suggesting the approach that we had taken was the way forward.

SIR MARTIN GILBERT: There has been some discussion with you earlier about the problem of the relationship between Baghdad and Basra, and the Prime Minister some two hours ago also stressed that assessment. Given that Basra was historically neglected by Baghdad, what assurances were you able to obtain from Prime Minister Maliki and his government that Basra's development would be supported

by the central Iraqi Government after you closed?
RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: I think it would be to
misunderstand how I saw my relationship with
Prime Minister Maliki to suggest that I was in the
business of extracting undertakings from the elected
Prime Minister of Iraq in terms of public expenditure
decisions that were likely to be taken.

8 I think, on the other hand, it is right to recognise 9 that in those conversations I was very clear that the capacity building that we had undertaken in the south, 10 or indeed the work we had undertaken to secure inward 11 investment in the south, was itself conditional on 12 decisions that were being taken at ministerial and 13 Prime Ministerial level within Baghdad, and in that 14 sense in particular that second visit that I paid 15 towards the end of 2008 involved some very clear 16 expressions on my part of some of the projects that were 17 at that point awaiting approval in Baghdad on the basis 18 of work to which we had contributed within Basra. 19 SIR MARTIN GILBERT: So you were satisfied in a way that the 20 21 sovereign activities in Baghdad would sustain what had 22 been done and that they understood what the importance 23 of these Basra developments were? 24 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: I think, as a minister of some

25

48

years standing, I was aware that there would continue to

1 be competing pressures on Prime Minister Maliki, and indeed on his ministers, in terms of judgments that they 2 were going to make on expenditure, or, indeed, on the 3 priority of legislation, whether in relation to the 4 hydrocarbons law or others, but that wasn't, for me, an 5 inhibition to speaking up clearly about some of the 6 decisions that could be taken that would bring very 7 8 direct benefits to the Basrawis.

9 But I think it is important to recognise that the 10 whole nature of the approach we were taking was to 11 empower, not just the Basrawis but the Iraqis 12 themselves, in the form of their government, to make 13 those decisions for themselves.

SIR MARTIN GILBERT: Can I turn now to your national 14 programme for Iraq? Improving Iraq's economic prospects 15 generally was one of the top priorities of our long-term 16 strategy for Iraq and that included, as we discussed 17 earlier, in promoting investments into Iraq, the 18 19 hydrocarbons legislation and tackling the persistent 20 problem of Iraq not going able to translate its oil 21 resources into tangible progress for its citizens. 22 What role did you see DFID playing in delivering 23 these economic changes in the all Iraq strategy? 24 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Well, there have been a number 25 of specific initiatives that we have taken, whether it

1 is in relation to technical support to Iraq, the TSI programme, which is in some ways reflected in the 2 conversation we have just had, high level advice to the 3 4 Government of Iraq on strategic policy development and on public financial management. How to ensure that 5 money flows to those areas that are identified. It 6 began in July 2008 and is currently still underway. 7 8 Secondly, looking at how we could support the 9 implementation of public financial management, a lot of

this was directed, as I say, towards capacity building. 10 We weren't, however, simply working within the 11 Finance Ministry, or indeed the Cabinet Office 12 equivalent, within Baghdad. We were also seeking to 13 strengthen the capacity of the Finance Committee of the 14 Iraqi Parliament to ensure that there was an appropriate 15 scrutiny function of the Executive's responsibility. 16 Training the Finance Committee is something else that we 17 18 have done.

19At the same time, we were very keen to feed in the20lessons that we had learned from the support for21economic development in the south in terms of the Basra22Investment Commission, directly into the National23Investment Commission operating out of Baghdad. So24whether it is in relation to the capacity of the25government itself, whether it is in relation to the

1 business environment in which that work is being carried out, whether it is in supporting a youth employment 2 pilot², which, again, we drew experience from our 3 economic development work in the south, all of those 4 national programmes built on and learned from our 5 experience in the south, but were trying to support the 6 Government of Iraq in its development of an economic 7 8 environment more conducive both to investment and to 9 employment and to growth.

SIR MARTIN GILBERT: What role did you see for other 10 Whitehall departments in this all Iraq strategy? 11 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Well, there is a continuing 12 and vital role for the Foreign and Commonwealth Office, 13 because if we are in a position where we are, if you 14 like, seeking to work directly with line ministries 15 within Baghdad, then the go-to department for Whitehall 16 in terms of understanding and knowledge of Iragi 17 governments and Iraqi politics continues to be the 18 19 Foreign and Commonwealth Office.

In that sense that's why, partly for security reasons, but for very good operational reasons, the fact that we are co-located in Baghdad I think is a positive and a good thing. As I say, the Treasury has engaged seriously on Iraq in relation to the IMF, and we continue to work with the World Bank on the issue of investment

 $^{^2}$ National roll out of the Youth Employment Pilot Programme will be considered by the Government of Iraq once the Basra pilot is concluded.

1 in Iraq.

2 My recollection now is that there have been four 3 World Bank loans that have been granted to Iraq, but 4 there is already work that has already been taken 5 forward with the World Bank in relation to technical 6 assistance in Baghdad, and, as the lead department, it 7 falls to DFID to manage that relationship with the 8 World Bank.

We are also now working with colleagues in UKTI who 9 10 are supporting inward investment into Iraq and looking for investment opportunity for British businesses and 11 British companies and we were very keen, essentially 12 coming off the back of the work that Michael Wareing was 13 leading for us, that UKTI step up to the plate and put 14 its shoulder to the wheel, and I'm glad to say that that 15 happened with Peter Mandelson increasing the support 16 that is there. 17

My recollection is UKTI now have three staff based 18 in Baghdad and one in Basra³ and that reflects the 19 20 continuing commitment of UKTI to the Iraqi market. 21 SIR MARTIN GILBERT: Beyond the UK and the World Bank, 22 finally the European Commission and the United Nations, 23 how does your work dovetail in with theirs? 24 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: We were working very closely 25 with the European Union, as I say, for a number of years

 $^{^{3}}$ UKTI currently have four staff in Baghdad and are recruiting for staff to be based in Basra.

1 after 2003. The European Union channelled its funding 2 through the International Reconstruction Fund Facility for 3 Iraq. They didn't have a bilateral programme. In the 4 last couple of years the European Union has a presence 5 in Iraq and we are continuing to work with them to 6 support the funding that they provide to Iraq at this 7 stage.

8 In relation to the United Nations, there is now a significant number of United Nations agencies who are 9 10 working in Iraq, notwithstanding the terrible tragedy of the Canal Hotel bombing during an earlier period, and 11 whether that is in support of the elections that will 12 take place in a couple of days' time, whether that is 13 a continuing humanitarian requirement, given the 14 difficulties of IDPs, we work very closely with a range 15 of UN agencies, given their continuing profile and work 16 within the country. 17

18 SIR MARTIN GILBERT: Thank you.

19 THE CHAIRMAN: Before turning to Sir Roderic, can I pick up 20 one point? You have made reference to the improving 21 business environment both in the south and nationally, 22 I think. This is a call for information which I do not 23 have. Is part of that a reform of commercial law and 24 within that of contracts and, indeed, the legal system 25 to enforce and administer that?

1 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Judicial reform is one of 2 the areas -- and legal reform, is one of the areas on 3 which work continues and on which we have contributed 4 but I wouldn't wish to overstate the progress that has been made, given that, if you still look at the ease of 5 doing business survey that is conducted internationally, 6 Iraq is lower down rather than higher up, but progress 7 8 is being made and the general sense of optimism that was 9 reflected in the most recent business attitudes survey 10 towards Iraq reflects that with a significant proportion, I think, in the low 80s, 80 per cent or so 11 of the business community that were surveyed saying that 12 there had been an improvement in the business 13 environment and about the same figures indicating that 14 they were expecting continuing progress. So there has 15 been a genuine upward curve in terms of business 16 17 confidence. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Sir Roderic? 18 SIR RODERIC LYNE: I will resist the temptation to ask which 19 20 other politicians were at your wedding and whether they 21 included the current Prime Minister. RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: He was, incidentally, yes. 22 23 SIR RODERIC LYNE: Thank you for volunteering that further 24 bit of evidence. 25 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Nothing if not having

1 foresight.

2 SIR RODERIC LYNE: Foresight and continuity, and I'm sure it 3 did no harm to your promotion chances, but let's not 4 talk about that. RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: That's for others to judge. 5 SIR RODERIC LYNE: As I understand it, over the last year 6 you have reduced the DFID Iraq programme further as part 7 8 of this downward continuum to something in the region of 9 £30 million. But Iraq is still, as you said earlier on, a very challenging environment. 10 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Hm-mm. 11 SIR RODERIC LYNE: It has not made the quantum leap forward 12 for which the US and British Governments hoped, indeed 13 which they expected in 2003. It is potentially, 14 obviously, a rich country, but seven years on it is 15 still in a very bad condition with massive unemployment 16 and poor quality education and health services, low 17 levels of electricity supply and so on. 18 19 Now, given the bad state of Iraq, rather than its 20 theoretical status as a low-middle-income country, is it 21 actually right to continue reducing DFID's programmes, 22 not just in money, but, indeed, in the input of effort 23 that we are making into this country to which we have 24 made a huge commitment of not only treasure but blood? 25 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: For exactly that reason

1 I think it is right to recognise how distinctive and unique Iraq is. But the service and sacrifice that you 2 3 describe, in no way detracts from the fact that Iraq is 4 a potentially very wealthy country, with the third largest oil reserves anywhere on earth, the tenth 5 largest gas reserves anywhere on earth, that is on an 6 upward trajectory in terms of both business confidence 7 8 and the capacity of those oil and gas reserves to be 9 utilised to the benefit of the population.

10 There is a major concession that has just been 11 granted towards Shell Oil, as you will know, and the 12 very fact that there was an open and transparent 13 international competition for those concessions is, in 14 some ways, itself a metric of the progress that has been 15 made.

16 In response, therefore, I think the right course, 17 given the blood and treasure that has been expended, is 18 to ask: how can we most effectively support the 19 continued progress of Iraq?

Given the continuing challenges of spending its own resources, I'm not convinced that a large resource transfer programme into the future is necessarily the right approach. I do, however, think we need to continue to reflect what expertise we have and that we can bring to bear to support that progress that Iraq

1 continues to make.

2	There are other countries where we have established,
3	or are looking to establish, a development partnership
4	without a significant bilateral programme involving
5	external resource transfer, and while we have
6	expenditure decisions to make appropriately towards Iraq
7	after 2011, I am very open-minded as to whether the
8	right course would be to maintain a development
9	partnership, albeit that I'm unconvinced that the best
10	way we can support Iraq is to have continuing
11	significant external transfers.
12	SIR RODERIC LYNE: So leaving transfers on one side, you are
13	essentially recognising that we really do have an
14	obligation, as the UK, to continue to do whatever we can
15	to help Iraq unlock this potential wealth and use it?
16	RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Well, there is an important
17	caveat, which is Iraq is a sovereign country. It is
18	about to have its second democratic elections in
19	a couple of days' time, and, ultimately, what advice,
20	support, guidance or expertise that should be provided
21	should, in the first instance, be determined by the
22	wishes of the sovereign government and duly elected
23	government of the country.
24	In that sense as I say, I don't think I can state it

25 more boldly: I'm open-minded as to whether it is right

1 that that programme is in place. I certainly am open to 2 requests being made to us as to how we can take forward 3 the kind of support that has been offered previously in 4 a changing and changed context.

But I would also add that, for me, the evidence of 5 our continuing commitment to Iraq is not necessarily 6 a bilateral aid programme. One of the reasons we have 7 8 worked so hard to secure the engagement of the 9 World Bank, the European Union, the International 10 Monetary Fund and other international bodies in Iraq is in recognition of a desire to move towards a more normal 11 relationship for a middle-income country in the 12 Middle East towards the international community, but 13 in that sense there is not, on my part, any desire to 14 arbitrarily stop engagement with Iraq for all the 15 reasons you describe in terms of the commitment of 16 British blood and British treasure. 17

18 There is a genuine willingness to engage in dialogue 19 with the Government of Iraq as to how we can continue to 20 work together to see the improvements that we have seen 21 in recent years continuing in the years ahead. 22 SIR RODERIC LYNE: I would like to come back to that last 23 point you make in a minute, but just before I do, one 24 area in which, over the last two years, about 25 50 per cent, I think, of DFID's programme has been spent

is in humanitarian support. Isn't that an area where we should have been able to persuade the Government of Iraq to use its own resources?

RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Well, I think we have moved
from a position where the general consensus is that Iraq
is no longer in circumstances of humanitarian crisis,
the kind of circumstances that you described previously,
which accounted for the very significant resources that
we committed, indeed the largest expenditure on any
country in 2003/2004.

I think it would be a better description to 11 recognise that there is continuing vulnerability in Iraq 12 at the moment. The latest UNHCR survey cites shelter, 13 employment and food as Iraq's three top priority needs, 14 and that's why it is right to recognise that there is 15 a key role for the Government of Iraq to play in these 16 matters. But these kinds of issues are no doubt the 17 issues that are being discussed and debated both in 18 Baghdad and across the country as they look towards 19 their elections on Sunday. 20

SIR RODERIC LYNE: In terms of our influence and impact, isn't one of our problems that the 500 million or so pounds that DFID has spend over six/seven years in Iraq is a relatively small sum when compared with the billions of dollars that the United States have spent

1 there, and, indeed, when compared with the oil income of Iraq, and, therefore, this doesn't give us as much 2 leverage as one might hope for and as much impact as one 3 4 might hope for from half a billion pounds? 5 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Well, if the question implies that it would be better for British influence if Iraq 6 had less oil reserves on tap, I would respectfully 7 8 disagree. I think the reason that we have committed 9 those £500 million plus was not to secure influence, but 10 to do the right thing as part of a comprehensive approach taken by the British Government. So in that 11 sense, for me, my concern has been to ensure the 12 effectiveness of that expenditure during my period as 13 Secretary of State and to ensure that we are supporting 14 the Iraqis in a period of transition. 15 There are some who would suggest that we would be 16 better building a significant legacy project, say 17 a hospital or a bridge, and put a large Union Jack on 18 19 it. I think it is better to think of the legacy of the

contribution that we have made on the civilian side as

of the Basrawis themselves and their authorities to

capability to spend that money, a functioning and

being the kind of figures I quoted earlier, the capacity

decide how to spend their money, and then the technical

effective Basrawi Provincial Council, a government that

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 is capable, not simply of being elected, but then being able to spend its money in a transparent and accountable 2 3 manner, are important contributions towards the kind of 4 progress that we have wanted to see for many years. 5 SIR RODERIC LYNE: So when you survey this legacy, do you think we have had £500 million worth of useful, valuable 6 impact from the money we have spent in Iraq. 7 8 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: I think, if you take the 9 largest single item of expenditure, it has been humanitarian expenditure. I think many people 10 anticipated that there would be a more significant 11 humanitarian crisis immediately after the action was 12 taken in 2003, and in part the action that was taken by 13 the international community avoided the scale of 14 humanitarian problems that many people anticipated. 15 It is right, however, to acknowledge that the 16 descent into sectarian violence that took place round 17 about 2004/2005 precipitated humanitarian needs which 18 19 required to be addressed as well, but my sense is that

21 spent.

20

If you were to say to me, how have we spent the floo million or so on infrastructure, I would cautiously advance that we have probably secured more bang for our buck than the equivalent American expenditures, much

those resources on humanitarian needs have been well

larger though those have been, on external resource
 transfer and infrastructural investment at an early
 stage.

Essentially, we took an approach that said we will 4 seek to mend the infrastructure that is present in the 5 south, rather than try to pour many tens of millions or 6 hundreds of millions of pounds into infrastructure at 7 8 a point at which the capacity is not there to absorb 9 that money effectively, and, as I say, I draw on the Hard Lessons report, that goes into this in some detail, 10 and in relation to the capacity-building money that we 11 have spent, which is a smaller share of the money, then 12 I would look at the improvement in business confidence, 13 I would look at the capacity of the Basrawi authorities 14 to programme their own expenditure and I would look at 15 the growing capability of the Iraqi Government to be 16 able to spend its own resources as evidence of the fact 17 that we have made a contribution towards the 18 19 improvements that we have seen.

SIR RODERIC LYNE: You talked of the importance of moving into a normal commercial and economic relationship and you have referred to our efforts to promote investment. Indeed, we have heard from a number of witnesses about the 18 investor visits that have been organised to Iraq by DFID and the way that they have led to some

1 \$9 billion worth, I think, of proposals from investors for projects in Iraq. 2 How many of these proposals have so far been 3 4 translated into actual investments and jobs? RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: In terms of the £10 billion, 5 which are the figures that I have -- I'm sure it's --6 SIR RODERIC LYNE: £10 billion? 7 8 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Sorry, \$10 billion of 9 investment proposals that have been received, 10 \$10 billion of proposals being submitted to the Government of Iraq. A proposal worth US\$4 billion --11 that's the proposal by Shell that I referred to 12 earlier -- has been agreed. 13 SIR RODERIC LYNE: That's not a bid for an oil exploration 14 pro -- that's separate, is it? 15 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: That's one proposal for 16 \$4 billion worth of investment has been agreed. The 17 18 normal lag between proposals and agreements in full from 19 international markets is estimated to be around 20 five years, moving from proposals to projects 21 actually beginning. So, as you can imagine, it's one of 22 the questions that I have had asked fairly regularly 23 after the investment conferences, to say while it is 24 fine to have proposals, are we translating these 25 proposals?

1 My understanding is that we are on track in terms of those proposals being moved forward, albeit that we 2 would like to see them move forward even more quickly. 3 4 But that in part explains why we secured the expertise of Invest Northern Ireland, to be able to track and 5 monitor that process from initial proposal to 6 7 commitments being made. 8 SIR RODERIC LYNE: So essentially it is too soon to tell? 9 It is too soon to make that judgment really? RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Well, almost half of the 10 investment proposals have now been translated into an 11 agreement and let's hope the other half follows as well. 12 SIR RODERIC LYNE: Yes, okay, one big proposal and others to 13 come? 14 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: (Inaudible) 4 billion 15 16 (inaudible). SIR RODERIC LYNE: Overall, are you looking at the sort of 17 18 state of Iraq, the political state of Iraq, the 19 sectarian tensions that still exist, all of which you 20 refer to, and the weak, still weak, capacity in terms of 21 governance? How confident are you that the investments 22 made by DFID, by the British Government generally, can 23 be protected and sustained so that they do leave a sort 24 of lasting impact -- lead to a lasting impact in Iraq? 25 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Well, it is inherent in the

1 investments that we made that they amplify and recognise the authority of the Iraqis themselves, and in that 2 sense I'm not in a position today where I can anticipate 3 4 what the result of the Iraqi elections on Sunday is going to be, and in some ways that should be a source of 5 celebration, the fact that we have, in what are always 6 very difficult second democratic elections, a genuinely 7 8 unknown result. But in that sense the difficulty of 9 giving guarantees in relation to a government that has not yet been appointed is that ultimately many of these 10 decisions, in relation to investment, for example, or on 11 what laws they will prioritise and pass, in relation to 12 how they will relate to the provinces and regions within 13 Iraq, are the very stuff of normal politics. But a big 14 part of our endeavour from day one in relation to 15 supporting our part of the comprehensive approach was to 16 get to a position where we were explaining that actually 17 these decisions are now in the hands of the Iraqis. 18 That's where those decisions rest. 19 SIR RODERIC LYNE: Finally, if I can just go back to what 20 21 you were saying earlier about the World Bank, you 22 expressed some frustration with the difficulty of 23 getting the International Bank for Reconstruction and

24 Development, to give it its full title, into operation 25 in Iraq, and, of course, the same applied to the several

1 UN agencies relevant to Iraq's needs.

To what extent were they held back, not only by 2 concerns about security, which we have heard a lot of, 3 but also by the lack of legitimacy for the coalition's 4 operations, the lack of support that the coalition had 5 in a very divided international community? I mean, if 6 one recalls Colin Powell's famous phrase, his Pottery 7 8 Barn maxim, "If you break it, you fix it," is it 9 actually fair to expect these agencies to come in if in their view we were the ones who did the breaking? 10 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: I think it is a matter of 11 judgment rather than fact. Of course, there was UN 12 13 support for the work that was being taken forward by the time I was appointed Secretary of State. 14 Let's take each in turn. In relation to the 15 traditional division between the World Bank and the 16 United Nations, the normal division of labour, if you 17 like, in these circumstances would be that the 18 World Bank would focus on infrastructure while the 19 United Nations more traditionally has led on the 20 21 political processes. 22 I think both the United Nations and the World Bank 23 were constrained by the targeted killing of 24 international civilians, both in the UN compound itself 25 and also International Committee of the Red Cross staff,

1 who were also killed at an early stage, and in that sense I think security applies to both of them. 2 I think it is also fair to recognise that, given the 3 4 cooperative nature of the World Bank, there were, as there was in the international community generally, 5 a diverse range of views as to the action that was taken 6 in 2003 within the governing body of the World Bank. 7 8 I think in the case of the World Bank, though, there 9 were other factors at work. Firstly, the World Bank was not particularly experienced at working in fragile 10 states, and I think one of the challenges for the 11 World Bank is building the capacity and capability to 12 work in conflict-affected and fragile states. 13 Secondly, I think the coincidence of leadership of 14 the World Bank, with Paul Wolfowitz at the head of the 15 World Bank as President of the World Bank, added to the 16 complications and to exactly the dialogue that you 17 18 describe, because we were in a position at that stage where his legitimacy within the institution was being 19 20 questioned by some, and, given his past role within the 21 administration in Washington, I think there was 22 a concern that he would not be seen to be advancing the agenda of his previous employer rather than his present 23 24 employer.

25

And I think also there is an important point in

1 terms of the balance between what was being asked for by the United States, by the United Kingdom and others 2 within the international community and what the 3 Government of Iraq itself was asking for from the 4 World Bank, and I think that reflects the capacity 5 constraints within the Iraqi Government at the time, in 6 the sense that one of the responses that was being 7 8 received by my officials and others at an earlier stage 9 was, "Listen, we know that you think we should be 10 involved in Iraq. Frankly, you are asking us to be involved in Iraq more than the Government of Iraq," and 11 that reflects the fact that the Government of Iraq had 12 a lot else on its agenda at that time. 13 But I think, to understand why the World Bank was 14 slower requires an understanding of the politics in 15 Baghdad, an understanding of the security situation 16 across Iraq, an understanding certainly of the situation 17 of the bank itself, but it is hard to offer a definitive 18 19 view as to what the relative weight of each of those 20 factors actually is.

SIR RODERIC LYNE: Should we draw the conclusion from this that we need a new international agency for stabilisation and reconstruction and would a different sort of agency of that kind be able to operate in a situation in which the international community was

1 divided over the politics of the issue, as it was in 2 this case?

RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: My view is that we need to 3 look at the work of the Peacebuilding Commission under 4 5 the auspices of the United Nations, in the sense that, in the distinction that I have just drawn between the 6 traditional division of labour between the United 7 8 Nations and the World Bank, the World Bank's particular 9 expertise is in long-term infrastructure, but actually I would respectfully suggest that the experience in Iraq 10 should remind us all that, as you say, there is 11 an important stage between immediate humanitarian 12 response and the capacity of a country affected by 13 conflict or great humanitarian need to be able to make 14 the long-term investment decisions which is the day-and-15 daily business of the World Bank. 16

Now, in that sense the United Nations has great 17 capability in relation to peacekeeping. One of the 18 challenges is actually, I think, to upscale the 19 20 international community's capability at peacebuilding. 21 If you like, there is a blue helmet capability in 22 relation to peacekeeping; it is less clear that there is 23 a co-ordinated approach to peacebuilding. 24 Now, as a British government we have advanced this

25 agenda, whether in relation to a Foreign

1 Secretary-initiated debate in the Security Council in 2005; another debate that my former colleague, 2 Mark Malloch Brown led from the Foreign Office on the 3 issue of peacebuilding; and our desire that the 4 United Nations pick up this baton and run with it more 5 in the future, and I think that's an important issue. 6 But I think it is also right to recognise that this 7 8 is not alone a task of the United Nations, and whether 9 this capability should lie exclusively with the United Nations, of which it is asking a lot to have 10 a reserve capability for stabilisation and 11 peacebuilding, or whether actually there should be 12 a strong and tighter co-ordination role for 13 peacebuilding within the United Nations, but then able 14 to draw on the capability of different countries, 15 I think is an open question. 16 I find it interesting that we have undertaken 17 a process here in the United Kingdom of moving from 18 19 PCRU, as it was, which was a recognition on the part of 20 my predecessor Hilary Benn and others of the --21 SIR RODERIC LYNE: I think you should say for the record 22 that's the Post-Conflict Reconstruction Unit. RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Which became the Stabilisation 23 24 Unit. There was a need to draw together the expertise 25 and capability within the British Government system.

1 In that journey we are not alone. If you look at the speech that was given by US Secretary of Defence 2 Robert Gates in Kansas University at the time, if 3 I recollect, in 2007 when there was discussions of these 4 issues in the United States, he said, "I know it is very 5 unusual, indeed counter-factual, for the 6 Secretary of State for Defence to be arguing for greater 7 8 expenditure for the State Department but we need to 9 build up the civilian capability to do exactly this kind of stabilisation work out of the United States." There 10 is a very live discussion within the United States as to 11 whether that responsibility is best held by the State 12 Department, whether it is best held by USAID, but 13 nonetheless I think that there is a process underway 14 within the United States which on some level parallels 15 the discussion and progress that we have made here 16 within the United Kingdom. 17

So I think there is an important task for the 18 19 United Nations. My instinct is that that peacebuilding 20 commission is the forum that is best equipped to both 21 advance this agenda and draw together the standing 22 capabilities that exist within countries, but that doesn't diminish the need for a number of countries to 23 24 better develop a stabilisation capability as well, and 25 I would argue that if you look at the progress the

1 Stabilisation Unit has made in recent years here in the 2 United Kingdom, we really have developed a lot of 3 expertise and a lot of capability in that regard, which 4 is -- which explains why people like General Odierno in 5 the United States has come to compliment us in terms of 6 the work we were doing.

7 SIR RODERIC LYNE: Thank you.

8 THE CHAIRMAN: I had been going to ask you as my final 9 question, and indeed the final question of the session, 10 exactly the points that you have just addressed, so I won't trouble to repeat that, and thank you for your 11 particularly interesting remark about other countries' 12 attempts to construct within themselves a contribution 13 to stabilisation and reconstruction in a more 14 integrated, comprehensive way. It is something I think 15 we shall want to pursue as and when we visit the 16 United States. 17

As a final question, I wanted to ask whether you had any further reflections or indeed lessons to share with us. But perhaps just as an opening sentence, are you hopeful for the future of Iraq? There are no certainties in this world.

23 RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: You are right, Sir John, there
24 certainly are no certainties, but I am hopeful, and that
25 second visit, in particular, that I paid was very

significant in confirming in my mind the extent to which
 Iraq was leaving behind the scale of difficulties and
 troubles that had afflicted it and was making real
 progress. My hope would be that these coming elections
 represent the next stage in that continuing advance.

If I was to try to draw lessons from my perspective 6 of having served as Secretary of State for International 7 8 Development over the last two and a half years, I think 9 the first point I would make would be it is hard to overstate the importance of the security environment for 10 the work that we have been endeavouring to undertake in 11 Iraq. That was drawn out in the questions that you 12 asked me in relation to Charge of the Knights. But it 13 is absolutely central, and part of the reason that, 14 drawing in large measure on my experience leading the 15 department during the time of Iraq, we place such 16 emphasis in the White Paper that we published as 17 a department last July on working in conflict-affected 18 19 and fragile states is that security is not an add-on or 20 a nice to have in these countries where there is very 21 real evidence of a coincidence of poverty and conflict; security is vital. That is often a challenging message 22 23 for the development community to hear, and I say that 24 with some experience of having engaged in those debates 25 and discussions.

1 But if you look at, for example, the survey by the World Bank called "The Voices of the Poor", which 2 asked the poorest people on the earth what were their 3 greatest needs, security is very high up there, personal 4 security: the ability to be able to travel to the well 5 or to get your child to school without the threat of 6 physical violence, understandably, is pre-eminent, and 7 8 in that sense that explains why, in terms of our own 9 evolving thinking as a department, we have stated 10 categorically in the White Paper that we see security as being a basic service, in the same way that provision of 11 water and sanitation or education and health are 12 13 central.

The second point that I would make would be: the 14 comprehensive approach is vital. I believe it has made 15 a material contribution to the progress that Iraq has 16 made. But that relies on mutual respect of distinctive 17 18 roles, and the effect of joint working, I would argue, that we have witnessed -- and that has been borne out in 19 20 some of the evidence that you have seen from 21 General Graham Binns and others -- between the military, 22 the diplomats and the development experts I think has 23 been central to the progress that we have made. That is 24 in no way to diminish the extraordinary courage and 25 sacrifice of British military personnel, to which I pay

1 due tribute today, but ensuring that we built on the progress that was made militarily has relied on a degree 2 of effective joint working between the military and 3 other bodies, the diplomats and development experts, and 4 I have to say I'm clear that the leadership that the 5 Provincial Reconstruction Team, in particular, has been 6 able to bring to this area of work has relied on 7 8 a multi-agency and multidisciplinary approach. If we 9 hadn't been able to have development experts sitting 10 alongside military experts, sitting alongside people who really understand the governance and political aspects 11 of this challenge, I don't think we would have made the 12 progress that we have made, and in that sense it has 13 been a journey but I think that we have made significant 14 15 progress.

A couple of other points that I would end by way of 16 conclusion. Firstly, investing early in capacity yields 17 very significant results, and I think the sequencing of 18 19 your efforts as part of a comprehensive approach is 20 vital. Of course it is necessary to ensure that there 21 is security and that the ground is stabilised, but 22 equally it is never too early to start building the 23 capacity of the country itself and the structures of 24 governance to be able to take responsibility for their 25 own affairs, and I think again the capacity-building has

been absolutely vital.

2	The final point and it draws on the exchange that
3	I have just had with Sir Roderic: the truth is we always
4	have to recognise that countries have to devise their
5	own solutions and while we can play an important role in
6	supporting countries in developing the capability to
7	make those judgments, I think the development principles
8	that recognise country-led development are actually
9	useful in post-conflict situations as well.
10	So I think the centrality of development experts,
11	diplomats and military people sitting together as part
12	of a comprehensive approach, the need to respect
13	ultimately the sovereignty of the country in which you
14	are working, but the underlying centrality of security
15	are lessons that I have certainly drawn from this
16	experience.
17	THE CHAIRMAN: I should like to thank warmly today's
18	witness, Douglas Alexander, for his insights and
19	reflections. Thank you.
20	RT HON DOUGLAS ALEXANDER MP: Thank you.
21	THE CHAIRMAN: And thanks to those who have been here to
22	witness this session.
23	On Monday, at 9 o'clock in the morning, for those
24	who may be intending to come 9 o'clock we shall be
25	taking evidence from the Rt Hon David Miliband MP, who

1	became Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth
2	Affairs in June 2007, and we shall be asking him,
3	obviously, about policy-making and its implementation
4	from that date until July 2009, and after that in the
5	morning, later we shall also be seeing Sir Bill Jeffrey,
6	the current permanent secretary at the
7	Ministry of Defence.
8	With that, I'll declare the hearing closed.
9	(6.05 pm)
10	(The Inquiry adjourned until Monday 8 March 2010)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	