1 (2.00 pm)

2	THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon.
3	MR DOMINIC ASQUITH
4	MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: Good afternoon.
5	THE CHAIRMAN: Welcome back everyone who was here this
6	morning and welcome to everyone who has joined us for
7	this afternoon's session, and welcome to our witness
8	Dominic Asquith.
9	This afternoon involves a jump in time. Our
10	witness, because of his overseas commitments and
11	responsibilities, can't attend a hearing later, but we
12	now have to move from the period up to 2003, where we
13	have been looking at military planning, to the start of
14	building a picture of events in Iraq between 2004 and
15	2007 and to set it in a context.
16	From 2004 to 2006, you were, I think, Director Iraq
17	in the Foreign and Commonwealth Office in London, and
18	then for the following year you went to Baghdad as
19	HM Ambassador.
20	I remind every witness that they will later be asked
21	to sign a transcript of their evidence to to the effect
22	that the evidence they have given is truthful, fair and
23	accurate. I will now, if I may, hand over to
24	Sir Roderic Lyne, to start the questioning.
25	Sir Roderic?

SIR RODERIC LYNE: Thank you. As Sir John indicates, this is the first time we have really looked into this period and you are someone who has spent a lot of your career in the Middle East, or dealing with the Middle East, from London, including with Iraq from this period of 2004 onwards. Of course you have been in Baghdad before, as the Deputy Chief Commission.

8 From that perspective, I think it would be very 9 helpful if you can just tell us what the situation was 10 in Iraq at the time that you took up the post of Director for Iraq in the Foreign Office in October 2004. 11 By this period, the Coalition Provisional Authority had 12 been in operation for quite a long time. There was an 13 interim Iraqi Government under Dr Allawi, and if you can 14 then run us through the main elements of how the 15 situation developed until the period when you left the 16 post from being Ambassador in Baghdad nearly three years 17 18 later.

MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: In the previous incarnation before I was Director, I was, as you say, in Baghdad at the end of the Coalition Provisional Authority, the CPA, which was the period of trying to set up the interim government. We were working to a timetable that was set out in early March under an agreement with the Iraqi political leadership which would have the interim

1 government established by the handover from the CPA at the end of June, which would then be followed by 2 elections for provincial councils and for the 3 National Assembly, the transitional Parliament, 4 in January 2005, followed by a constitution which needed 5 to be drafted and then put to a referendum 6 by October 2005, and then completion of what was called 7 8 the political process with further national elections 9 in December 2005.

So the focus was on ensuring that that political 10 timetable was kept. But it was in a context, certainly 11 from early April 2004 through to the end of that year, 12 of considerable violent activity from an insurgency, 13 both from the Sunni Arab side in terms of what were 14 called former regime elements or ex-Ba'athis, but also 15 foreign elements in Iraq, and then, on the Shia side, an 16 insurgency from Moqtada al-Sadr, the Sadrist militias, 17 which created intense difficulty in pushing through both 18 the political process and the reconstruction and 19 20 capability-building of the Iraqi Government at the time. 21 To give you an example, in the beginning of 22 2004 there were up to 20 security incidents a day. By the time we were putting together the interim 23 24 government, it had risen to 120 and then it 25 levelled at around 70 a day. So that was the

1 context in which this process was being pursued.

It was an interim government from the middle of the 2 year which was unprepared for taking on the role of 3 government for a number of reasons. We can explore 4 those, if you like, later. But it had an insurgency to 5 fight and at the same time a capacity problem. 6 The key objectives were to build up the capacity of 7 8 the Iraqi security forces, both the army and the 9 police, and to accelerate that Iraqi-isation of the security elements, to build up the capacity of the 10 interim government and to prepare, as I say, for the 11 12 elections in January. But also, there was the beginning of a recognition 13 at that point of the need to bring in more actively the 14 international community, both in the form of the 15 United Nations which, under the Security Council 16 Resolution voted through in June of 2004, had 17 a leading role in some of the key bits of the political 18 19 process - the elections and the constitution - but also, 20 to broaden the burden, if I can put it that way, among the 21 international community in terms of helping Iraq to 22 build up its political and administrative capabilities. 23 The January 2005 elections were boycotted by the 24 Sunni Arab community for a couple of reasons. 25 The first was that there had been

1 a very violent conclusion to the insurgency just outside 2 Baghdad in Fallujah, which had been directed principally 3 against the Sunni Arab community. But they also, in 4 their hearts I think, were unwilling to face the 5 prospect of being the second community, the second to 6 the Shia, since they had, of course, up until then 7 controlled the politics of Iraq.

8 The result of the Sunni boycott of those elections 9 lived with us for some time because they were largely unrepresented or under-represented in the Parliament 10 that emerged from those elections. That said, the 11 turnout for the elections was high. There were 12 8 million voters out of 14 million registered. But the 13 Shia Alliance, the alliance of Shia parties, took about 14 half the votes and the Kurds and the party of 15 Ayad Allawi, the Prime Minister of the interim 16 government, pretty well shared the rest. 17 I went back to Iraq in February 2005, 18 with an American-led mission to look at how we might help 19 the transitional government, as it was then called, 20 21 build up its capability and deliver services. 22 Although one of the effects of the elections had been 23 to alienate further the Sunni Arab community, there was, 24 interestingly also an upswing in optimism inside Iraq 25 as a result of the elections and additionally

1 amongst the international community. You could see that latter development as the year progressed. 2 In the middle of the year, the EU presidency at the 3 time, and the Americans, co-chaired an international 4 conference in Brussels at the end of June in which 5 80 countries participated. So there was a sense amongst 6 the international community that the political process 7 8 needed to be supported and the transitional government, 9 too, needed support. The problem was that the momentum that had been 10 injected into the political process by the elections was 11 squandered because it took four months for the Iraqi 12 leadership to form its transitional government. That 13 was a problem that beset us at exactly the same time the 14 following year, which I will come to, but revolved 15 principally around an 16 unwillingness on the part of the Shia to concede 17 a majority role in government and, for them, a majority 18 role amounted in practice to 19 20 a monopoly. 21 They eventually agreed amongst themselves 22 a Prime Minister, by which time -- this was in April --23 we were getting close to the point at which the 24 constitution needed to be drafted, which was August. So 25 considerable work then went in, with the UN leading the

effort, in helping a Committee drawn from the new
 Parliament to draft a constitution, which was then put
 to a referendum in October.

4 It was difficult to secure Sunni Arab acquiescence, support or endorsement of the constitution, but there 5 was provision made at the last minute for a further 6 review of the constitution the following year, which at 7 8 least secured sufficient votes in the referendum, or 9 votes from the Sunni Arab population in the referendum, 10 which then happened in October. The referendum secured almost 80 per cent vote for the 11 constitution, but it was clear from the voting that 12 there was much less support for it in the Sunni Arab 13 14 areas. We then moved to ensuring that the December 15 elections could take place. It was clear 16 from the January elections 17 that a lot of work needed to be done to persuade the 18 19 Sunni Arab community to participate. We succeeded in 20 doing so and the results of the December elections 21 indicated a much better turnout from the Sunni Arab 22 community, in which they secured just short of 20 per cent of 23 the seats. Before I leave 2005, I should also point out one key 24

25

7

event in that year towards the end, in November, which

1 was to secure from the Security Council a further resolution, mandating the presence of a multinational 2 force. Its mandate under the previous resolution, SCR 1546, 3 4 was due to expire at the end of the political process, in other words, with the December elections, but it was 5 quite clear that there was a requirement for 6 a multinational force to continue in Iraq to help with 7 8 security and that was secured by a unanimous resolution, 9 resolution 1637, in November. 2006 opened in much the same, rather frustrating 10 way, as 2005, and it took even longer for the Iragis to 11 agree their government, stretching until the end 12 of April to secure consensus around a Prime Minister, 13 Nouri Al-Maliki, and then another month or so to put the 14 rest of the Cabinet into position. 15 That period was characterised, though, by even worse 16 violence than the previous year, sparked by an attack 17 18 in February by Al-Qaeda on a Shia mosque in Samarra, 19 a shrine north of Baghdad, which in turn generated over 20 the succeeding week, a bloodbath, 21 in which it was estimated that over 1,300 22 Iraqis, mainly Sunni Arabs 23 were killed in inter-militia fighting 24 largely prompted by the Shia Sadrist brigades. 25 It marked the beginning of the period in which the

militias were effectively all over the streets, taking
 the place of the Iraqi security forces and starting that
 process of Balkan-isation of Iraqi but particularly of
 Baghdad.

When Maliki formed his government, the focus again 5 was to increase the capabilities of the security forces. 6 It was nominally a government of national 7 8 unity. When I arrived in the August of that year, 2006, 9 my initial impressions, which I sent back, were that, for a government of national unity, I found that most of the 10 members were in opposition to each other and, as one old 11 Iraqi politician told me, in any other period in Iraqi 12 history there would have been a coup d'état, but the 13 multinational forces were preventing that. It was 14 a period of intense friction. 15

The Shia, again, determined to maintain a monopoly in government and yet felt threatened by the Sunni Arab community, by ex-Ba'athis, by Al-Qaeda, by their Arab neighbours, a theme which we may want to explore later, and indeed by the coalition; threatened in the sense that they were concerned that somehow their majority status would be undermined.

The Sunnis still felt excluded. They had not come to terms with the fact that they could not exert decisive influence by right and on their own terms and

1 they needed to demonstrate that they were opposed to Al-Qaeda, to the Saddamists and to the religious 2 extremists. The Kurds themselves were feeling 3 vulnerable and had, as they said, no neighbour to call 4 on, which they felt the Shia Arabs and the Sunni Arabs 5 had. And the Iranian influence with the Sadrists, 6 particularly with the Sadrist brigades, was extensive. 7 8 So it was an unpropitious context in which to be operating, and the summer of that year, 2006, was 9 effectively the battle for the soul of Iraq. It was the 10 period when security plans were rolled out for Baghdad 11 by the coalition forces and the Iraqis, which were aimed 12 at bringing the violence in Iraq to manageable 13 proportions and creating a space for politics to work, 14 because at that point there was just too much violence. 15 At much the same time we were facing an increased 16

17 violent threat in Basra, which I'm sure you will want to 18 come to later.

19 From the period when I arrived,
20 the focus was on trying to create a more effective
21 centre of government, a partnership between the
22 Prime Minister, Maliki, and the other political
23 leadership; and on the security side, increasing the
24 capability of the Iraqi security
25 forces to assume responsibility, to take over command

1 of the provinces, which had been set out as an objective back in the middle of 2005. President Bush had said at that 2 time, "As Iraqis stand up, we will stand down". That 3 was the lead-in to what was called Provincial Iraqi 4 Control (PIC), handing the provinces from the coalition to 5 Iraqi command. 6 That process of handing them over began from the 7 8 middle of 2006 and 9 included some of our provinces in the south-east. Then there was a real debate at the end of 2006 within the 10 American administration over whether one should move fast 11 to a bridging transition to Iraqi control, which would have 12 required coalition forces to stand back, Iraqi 13 forces taking much more of a lead, but a hefty dose of 14 mentoring by and embedding of advisers in Iraqi units, as 15 a way to transition control and, therefore, draw down 16 forces. That was the debate on one side. 17 On the other, the option was for a more aggressive operation 18 19 against the militias, to win every set 20 piece, but to combine that with a more effective hearts 21 and minds campaign, reconstruction, what they called 22 clearing the areas, holding them and then building 23 them. At the beginning of 2007, the debate went in favour 24 25 of the more aggressive approach, with President Bush

1 announcing at the beginning of January the extra surge of American forces, which was in line with the 2 thinking of the new American commander of the 3 4 multinational forces, General Petraeus. This was to be combined with a more integrated, sophisticated, 5 counter-insurgency campaign to win hearts and minds, 6 which developed into dealing with the Sunni Arab 7 8 population in the three Sunni Arab provinces to the west 9 and north of Baghdad. The attempt was to bind them into the political process, but at the 10 first instance to arrange a series of local ceasefires. 11 Early 2007 saw also another Iragi-led security operation 12 in Baghdad to pacify the streets and to put Iraqi 13 security forces visibly on the streets and to take the 14 space away from the militias. That carried all the way 15 through until the American surge came in 16 later in the year. 17 I think the other key point, the last point, is the 18 international aspect, where, in May of 2007, we 19 20 launched what was called the International Compact for Iraq, 21 which had been a year in gestation but which was, in 22 practice, a compact between the international community 23 and the Iraqi Government. On the Iraqi Government side there was 24 a commitment to serious reform, economic and political, 25 and on the international community side, sustained

1 support for its economy.

2	SIR RODERIC LYNE: Thank you. You have described a long and
3	acutely difficult period for the people of Iraq, and,
4	indeed, for the coalition and there are a lot of things
5	within that period that we will wish to follow up on,
6	not just today, but in subsequent hearings.
7	I would just like to dig a little deeper into the
8	insurgency and then I think Sir Lawrence will want to
9	ask about the way that we handled policy over this.
10	As we have heard from earlier witnesses, while there
11	were differing views, both in the UK and the USA, about
12	what we could expect after the toppling of
13	Saddam Hussein, effectively nobody in the policy-making
14	community had anticipated an insurgency on the scale
15	that happened. Some have implied that it wouldn't
16	really have been possible to envisage this.
17	Given that it built up in the way that you have
18	described, how did the coalition react to this? How
19	long did it take the coalition to really understand what
20	was going on and to gear itself up to deal with this
21	insurgency; in fact, not a single insurgency but, as you
22	have rightly said, insurgency in two different
23	communities?
24	MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: I think I can only speak for the time
25	that I was engaged in this, because there were a number

of relevant factors but they were before I arrived. I think it is fair to say that initially the coalition military response and the policy response was to treat it as a counter-terrorist problem; in other words, to take the militias on, the insurgents on, militarily, wherever they were.

That evolved over time on slightly different tracks. 7 8 My perception is that, on the British side, we were 9 quicker to recognise that, in terms of the Sunni Arab 10 insurgency, there was a requirement to try and reconcile those who were reconcilable, but who were at that 11 stage standing outside of the political process. That's 12 what we spent a lot of time in 2005, after the January 13 elections, which the Sunni Arabs boycotted, trying to 14 do, trying to explain to the Sunni Arabs who were 15 standing outside the political process and to some 16 extent supporting the insurgency, that 17 that course of action was disastrous for them in the 18 medium and long-term. It would put them continually 19 20 outside, away from the chance of shaping the political 21 process which was going to roll out through 2005. 22 But the underlying principle in all of those 23 exchanges was that they had to accommodate themselves to 24 a different political structure in Iraq and had to 25 accept that they needed to demonstrate loyalty to an

1	Iraqi Government. They could not fall back into the
2	position of thinking that they would rule Iraqi as they
3	wished.
4	In parallel, though,
5	let me just complete that thought.
6	Besides talking to those that we thought were
7	reconcilable amongst the Sunni Arabs, it also involved in parallel
8	many conversations with Arab neighbours and
9	near neighbours of Iraq, who were
10	inevitably suspicious of a Shia government in Baghdad,
11	many of whose members had spent much of their time in Iran in
12	exile. Through their refusal to engage
13	with successive Iraqi governments, the Arab neighbours were,
14	in our view, lending moral support to a rejectionist
15	Sunni Arab community. So it needed handling on those
16	two tracks.
17	The Shia insurgency was a more difficult operation
18	to engage with, partly because some of the political
19	parties in the Shia Alliance were close to or depended
20	for their political support on the constituents in the
21	areas where the Shia militias were operating and
22	providing, in effect, protection to the Shia communities
23	from Sunni Arab or Al-Qaeda attacks. There was always
24	an ambivalence on the part of the Shia political
25	leadership about how hard to deal with the Shia

1	militias. But there again, it was clear to us that
2	engagement of some kind was required with
3	the political arms of the Shia militias.
4	I think, that from the second half of 2006 and
5	certainly through 2007, the American attitude moved much
6	more towards the direction we had been engaged upon,
7	witnessed by the efforts in the first half of 2007, by
8	General Petraeus and his multinational forces, to engage
9	some Sunni Arab tribes in the Sunni Arab provinces to
10	throw off the militias and Al Qaeda groups that were
11	positioned there, and to engage in local
12	ceasefires, with the aim, in time, of those local
13	ceasefires spreading more broadly across the country.
14	In parallel, towards the time I was leaving, I sensed too
15	an American interest in engaging some of the Shia
16	militias in the same sort of dialogue.
17	SIR RODERIC LYNE: Now, by the time you took on your
18	responsibilities in late 2004, the coalition forces had
19	long since ceased to be seen by Iraqis as an army of
20	liberation to the extent that they ever had been, and
21	were seen as occupiers and, indeed, had that formal
22	status in international law and then this developed, as
23	you said, into a multinational force with the
24	authorisation of the United Nations.
25	Can you tell us how the Sunni and the Shia

1 communities in general viewed this force, which was, as you have said, when it prevented the likelihood of 2 a coup, essentially the only element providing security 3 and limiting instability in the country? What was their 4 attitude to it and, indeed, what was their attitude also 5 in this period to the United Nations? 6 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: Towards the multinational force I would 7 8 characterise it as an ambivalent one. It was true, up until the time I left, for all 9 10 three communities, Kurdish, Sunni Arab and the Shia Arab, that they were always 11 afraid of a precipitate withdrawal of multinational 12 forces, because of the lack of capability of the Iraqi 13 security forces to deal with the insurgency, the 14 military threat, from the militias and from the 15 terrorists. In the case of the Sunni Arab community, they felt 16 throughout very sharply, particularly from the beginning of 2006, an 17 almost existential threat from Shia militias, hence the 18 19 multinational force was their protector in whom they had 20 more trust than the Iraqi security forces, particularly 21 from the Iraqi police, since they saw the latter as 22 being heavily infiltrated, as indeed it was, by ex-Shia 23 militias. 24 So there was a real concern that when multinational

25

17

forces withdrew, it should be done in a context where

1 Iraq didn't descend into civil conflict or civil war. That's the one side. The other side that provides 2 the ambivalence was an understandable desire 3 particularly on the part of Prime Minister Maliki, for 4 Iraq to take control over its territory, for an Iraqi 5 Prime Minister to be in command of the disposition of 6 military forces around his territory. That was why 7 there was the push behind the transition of the 8 9 provinces, stage by stage, to Iraqi control, to 10 demonstrate on the one hand that the Iraqi security forces were improving in their capabilities and, 11 therefore, were capable of assuming command, and, on the 12 other hand, that Iraqi sovereignty was being extended. 13 SIR RODERIC LYNE: Thank you. 14 SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: It is very interesting, I think, for 15 us to hear, although it is out of sequence, what was 16 coming up as the war itself came to an end. 17 Thinking of some of the things that were envisaged 18 in March 2003 and what had come to pass, I wonder, from 19 the Iraqi point of view, as you were talking to them, 20 21 where they thought the coalition had made its biggest mistakes. 22 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: It was before my time, but I'm happy to 23 24 give my opinion. 25 SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: I'm not asking you your view, but,

1 when you arrived, presumably there was -- even those who had hoped for great things from the coalition, there was 2 a degree of disillusionment. So really I'm asking you, 3 4 in your time, what was the analysis of what had gone wrong? 5 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: It depended on the community to whom you were 6 talking. The sharpest criticism came from the Sunni 7 8 Arab community for the decisions taken early on 9 to de-Ba'athify, to outlaw ex-Ba'athis from 10 taking any role in government or the security forces and to disband the Iraqi army in toto. That's where they 11 felt that, in terms of two key decisions, they had been 12 affected themselves. 13 They also criticised the coalition for relying on, 14 excessively in their view -- this is from a Sunni Arab 15 perspective of having dominated Iraqi 16 politics up until that point -- of relying too heavily 17 on Shia Islamist political leaders and ones, indeed, who 18 19 had lived most of their political career in exile, and, 20 therefore, were divorced from the Iraqi people. In their view, this 21 was responsible for entrenching in the political structures 22 a sectarianism which they felt we could never rid 23 ourselves of. 24 From the Shia perspective, there was less criticism 25 of the decisions made by the coalition, principally

1 because they were the beneficiaries, and I think the same could largely be said of the Kurds, who were 2 allowed to retain the gains they had made the previous 3 4 ten years, the last ten years of Saddam, and to preserve their somewhat different status up in the north. 5 As my period went on, particularly the last year and 6 a half, the Shia community felt more disquiet about the 7 8 possibility that the coalition, recognising the deep sectarian tensions in Iraqi society, would put pressure 9 on the Shia political leadership to make, as they would 10 see it, concessions. For them, politics was a zero 11 sum game: any concession on their part was seen as 12 weakness, and was something that was going to favour the 13 Sunni Arab community. 14 So they were concerned that the deep sectarian rifts 15 were going to prompt the coalition to pressure them, the 16 Shia leadership, to make those concessions. 17 Therefore, that, in large part, explains the other 18 19 criticism that was sometimes presented to us, and to me personally, particularly as 20 21 regards Basra, the reluctance, as they saw it, of the 22 coalition to hand over security control 23 for areas to the Iraqi forces. 24 SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: Thank you. How much was there 25 a problem of a failure to get basic services going and

1	the economy moving and so on? Was that seen as the
2	coalition's fault or just a question of the security
3	situation? How was that being?
4	MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: I think under the Coalition Provisional
5	Authority, that's up until June 2004, this was seen as
6	a CPA fault. I think the
7	Americans would also admit that there were indeed
8	shortcomings, major shortcomings.
9	There is an excellent, very long
10	description by the inspector general on the American
11	side looking back from February this year at the
12	failures in the reconstruction programme.
13	As the Iraqi Government took control from the
14	beginning of 2005, the transitional government, and then
15	the government that came in in 2006,
16	I think it is fair to say the Iraqis looked to
17	themselves as needing to deliver the services, though
18	recognised that they could not do so, given the security
19	conditions, without protection from the multinational
20	forces; but they were very conscious, certainly
21	the more self-critical of the Iraqi
22	leadership, of their own
23	shortcomings, their own inability to agree how to spend
24	their budget and to agree broad
25	economic policies.

1 SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: Now moving into our own contribution in your period, we have heard in terms of criticism from 2 3 the immediate pre-war and post-war period coordination 4 with DFID and a lack of resource for our effort. How did it seem to you, over your period, both when 5 you were working in London and in Baghdad, in terms of 6 the coordination of the British effort and the 7 8 sufficient resources to deliver it? 9 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: The DFID effort was focused on some 10 major infrastructure reconstruction in the south, particularly electricity and water supplies, capacity 11 12 building in government, and there was a broader aid effort on security sector reform building up the 13 capability of the police service, and we were fairly 14 focused on those specific areas. 15 In terms of a contribution compared to other 16 coalition allies, leaving the Americans on one side, it 17 was clearly very significant and we were on the ground 18 19 in a way that other coalition allies were not. In 20 comparison with the American contribution, of course, it was 21 small; sometimes it is difficult to 22 disaggregate your reaction to our contribution, 23 financial, and human resource, from that of the Americans. 24 25 In terms of coordination, bearing in mind that we

1 were focusing on some specific areas such as police, prisons, judges, and some of the infrastructure in the 2 south, I don't think we fared any worse than others who 3 4 were engaged in the same exercises. It was a fiendishly 5 difficult context in which to operate, and extremely frustrating. As soon as you built a water plant or put 6 up an electricity substation, it was frequently blown 7 8 up. 9 SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: Were you content with the way that 10 the machinery was working in London? Did you think the coordination itself was okay? 11 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: On the whole, yes. There was 12 a good, regular process of coordination at official level, 13 which was both strategic and operational, in 14 terms of regular weekly meetings on 15 that issue. I think in terms of being able to switch 16 funds, or find extra funds that were required at short 17 notice. It wasn't a particularly flexible or effective 18 system. 19 That came out rather visibly in 2006, when it was 20 21 clear that we needed to put greater effort into building 22 up the capabilities in Basra, which would have required 23 some quite significant extra funding. The calculation, 24 even then, was somewhere in the region of £30 million, 25 which, if my memory serves me right, was required to

1 come out of our current resources -- by "our", I mean the Whitehall community's current resources -- which 2 3 struck me at the time as being certainly a peculiar way 4 of approaching what we were directed was a high priority, but we weren't being given the 5 extra resources, to deliver it. 6 But in terms of coordination, yes, my 7 8 sense was there was transparency, there was clarity in 9 terms of what the objectives and the priorities were, and in coordination between us in Whitehall and those on 10 the ground in Baghdad and Basra in delivering it. The 11 failures, I think, were in very large part the result of 12 the conditions on the ground. 13 SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: In terms of London, you have just 14 indicated a problem in getting extra resources at short 15 notice. Would you be involved in this in negotiations 16 with the Treasury? How would this sort of plea for 17 18 extra resources be handled? MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: In the specific 19 20 example of the Basra action plan, 21 the plan was agreed 22 in the Cabinet Office context. It 23 was then left, as I say, to the 24 Whitehall departments to put the case to the Treasury 25 for resources to cover this, to which the answer came,

1 "There are no extra resources for this. You have to find it out of your own." 2 SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: What about relations between the 3 4 Foreign Office and the other departments with a key 5 interest, in particular, in the Ministry of Defence, again in London? Was there a sense of all departments 6 pulling together, that they had a similar sort of policy 7 8 or were there different agendas for different 9 ministries? MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: In terms of the practical cooperation 10 with the Ministry of Defence specifically, from my time 11 in London, the two years as Director of Iraq, it was 12 very close and very good. I used to go every week to 13 the meetings of the Chiefs and then the 14 Secretary of State for Defence came into that meeting. 15 There were rigorous exchanges. 16 The MOD were also round the table with the senior 17 officials and at the strategy group to look at the horizon 18 19 scanning and at the operational level. I used to travel regularly with my civilian Ministry of Defence 20 21 counterpart to talk to those in the capitals of 22 our coalition allies in the south, and the 23 Foreign Office and the Ministry of Defence used to organise, 24 every three months, conferences with those key allies. 25 In terms of understanding each other's agendas,

1 I felt it was transparent and very good. In terms of whether the agendas meshed, that goes to the 2 very heart of the question of the transition and I'm 3 4 conscious I'm trespassing on Ministry of Defence territory here. But from my perception, there was, 5 an understandable tension inside the 6 Ministry of Defence between the requirement for troops 7 8 to do other operations, a question in their minds over 9 the troops to task, 10 he task that the troops were being asked 11 to carry out in Iraq and whether that was, as it were, proportionate to the risk that they were undergoing, while 12 at the same time a very clear recognition that the 13 transition to Iraqi control had to be conducted in 14 conditions which would ensure that security was 15 sustainable once the transition was made, and a very 16 clear recognition that any sense that Britain was 17 cutting and running would have been deeply 18 19 counter-productive in the short and medium term to the 20 security conditions inside of Iraq. 21 SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: Did that view persist into your time 22 as ambassador? 23 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: I won't hide it. There was a long 24 debate in which I didn't sense, even in the Ministry of Defence, 25 that there was a single view on the timing and the

1 conditions -- the timing for the transition of particularly Basra, or facilities that we had in 2 3 Basra City, to the Iraqis and whether the conditions for a sustainable, peaceful transition had been achieved or 4 not. Those were inevitably open to subjective judgment. 5 SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: When you were in Baghdad, how did 6 the coordination feel there, perhaps particularly 7 8 between Baghdad and Basra, and between yourself and our 9 armed forces? 10 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: Between myself and the armed forces, my chief counterpart in Baghdad 11 12 was the senior British military officer there, whom I saw regularly. I dealt with a series of them over my time, 13 and with all of them I had a very good 14 relationship, though, as a sort of anecdote which 15 underlines some of the practical problems, until my last 16 two months, it was impossible from my desk in the 17 18 embassy to talk to him on a secure line without the 19 intervention finally of the Americans. We had to use an American system. It provides an insight 20 21 into our concept of secure 22 communications. So you had to communicate face-to-face, and 23 moving around even the Green Zone sometimes wasn't the 24 easiest. 25 But the relationship more broadly between my team at

1 the embassy and the military was very close and very 2 regular. With Basra, it was much more difficult. Not merely was it difficult to get down there, since we were 3 4 dependent, at that stage, upon helicopter trips out of 5 Baghdad to the airport and then to Basra, and the military facilities weren't always ready for that. 6 So it was difficult to get down there. 7 8 It was also difficult to move around Basra, but there was 9 another another difficulty in the way 10 of an easy, neat exchange of information, which was that the senior British General in charge of 11 that area had, in a sense, two lines of reporting. One was 12 to the multinational corps and the other one back here 13 to PJHQ and that's where I sense sometimes that 14 a little bit of lack of clarity over what interests 15 and objectives he was having to promote. 16 But there is no hiding it, 18 it was much more difficult to keep the coordination 19 19 close between the military operation down in Basra and 20 Baghdad. 21 SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: Coordination with the Americans, 22 which is obviously part of this particular piece, how 23 good was that? MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: Well, I had regular conversations with 24 25 my American counterpart and with the American military.

1 The sort of underlying question is: how effective was the advice that we were given? To which my 2 honest answer is that funding and forces equals 3 influence. Our funding and forces in comparison to the 4 Americans' was obviously very small, though in comparison to the 5 other coalition members our forces were much larger. But 6 I think there was an unrealistic expectation amongst our 7 8 political leaders of the degree to which the Americans 9 would absorb and act upon our advice. They would listen to it. Whether they would act upon it was a different 10 question. They had a different approach from ours. 11 SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: Just finally before handing over to 12 Sir Roderic, I'm just wondering if a particularly 13 significant area of this perhaps was the whole question 14 of the surge? You mentioned the change in American 15 policy announced by President Bush at the start of 2007, 16 which was gradually implemented during the course of the 17 18 year, and you have also indicated the British 19 inclination was to get our forces out without being 20 given the appearance of cutting and running. How did you manage that tension? How did you assess 21 22 the surge? Did you think this was sort of the last 23 throw of the dice, that might work but possibly 24 wouldn't, or did you think that, "Yes, the Americans 25 have got the resources to do that. Good luck to them,

1 but we can't"? How did you assess this and our potential role within it? 2 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: I have to say that I had spent a lot of 3 time in the last few months of 2006, before the surge 4 5 was a real possibility as an option, talking through with the Americans and our British officers within the 6 multinational corps, the thinking behind the bridging 7 8 transition, the accelerated process of training up the 9 Iraqi forces, putting them in front, transitioning control to them and withdrawing from a visible 10 front-line role. I'm not a military 11 person, but it seemed to me to be coherent, provided it 12 was coupled with an effective delivery of services to 13 the areas that this was going to take place in. 14 I felt that that was a better way of reacting to 15 a strong desire on the part of the Iraqi leadership to 16 assume control. Again, personally, I was sceptical that 17 the surge would be effective and was unsure whether the 18 19 real objective of agreeing the local ceasefires with some of the Sunni Arab areas' tribal leaders was 20 21 designed to minimise the casualties of US forces or was 22 really designed to build them into 23 the political process. My suspicions were that the 24 first objective, of trying to reduce American 25 casualties, while completely understandable, was probably

a more important one in the minds of the military
 planners, and I was sceptical that they would be
 successful in persuading, particularly the Sunni Arab
 tribal leaders, to be loyal to a Shia-led government in
 Baghdad. So I was sceptical about the end objective,
 whether it was achievable.

7 I think in retrospect I was wrong and I think the 8 surge did produce what General Petraeus was seeking to 9 achieve by it, not just to create the sort of breathing 10 space for some politics to work, but that it did, more 11 sustainably than I assumed, quieten those areas which 12 were extremely violent.

13 SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: But there was no advice that Britain 14 should be part of it? We were standing back to see what 15 would happen and continuing with our own policy of 16 handing over --

MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: There was no question that we should be 17 part of the surge. What was important, once the 18 19 Americans had gone into the surge, was to ensure that 20 our timelines for transition in the south meshed with 21 the timelines of the surge, and that was more 22 difficult because the point at which the surge was 23 beginning to take place was the point at which we were 24 intending to transition, and, indeed, transition some of 25 the facilities from Basra to the Iraqis, but it

1 did require some persuading of the

Americans that the conditions in Basra were right for 2 3 the transition to take place. SIR LAWRENCE FREEDMAN: Thank you very much. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: I have got just a couple of questions, one 5 particular and one general. Sir Lawrence was successful 6 in inviting you to look, after the event, at things that 7 8 happened before your arrival in 2004 on the scene. 9 I just wanted, from that retrospect, to ask you: we 10 have heard a lot of evidence and read a great deal that a major precipitating factor in the breakdown of 11 security after the invasion was over was the decision to 12 de-Ba'athify on a comprehensive basis. We understand 13 that often it would be intolerable to the Shia 14 community, now coming into possession of their majority 15 status, to have anybody who had been part of what they 16 saw as, and was, a generally oppressive regime taking 17 18 power or authority or even responsibility. On the other hand, is it true that, in effect, to be 19 20 a member of the professional classes, you would have had

to be a Ba'athist in the Saddam era, and, therefore, a comprehensive de-Ba'athification decision amounted to the exclusion from, removal from, effective contribution to the post-invasion society of everybody with professional and comparable gualifications?

If and to the extent that's true, was there a better 1 balance available between, on the one hand, the Shia 2 aversion to their former oppressors, but, on the other 3 hand, the need to retain at least a significant 4 contribution to post-invasion Iraq society. 5 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: I think Bremer recognised that by the 6 time he left, indeed was trying in the last couple of 7 8 months to revise the way that the de-Ba'athification 9 decision was implemented, and that was very much behind 10 our activity, in which I was involved personally, of trying to persuade, in 2005 and 2006, the Iraqi and 11 particularly the Shia leadership to accept that they 12 should reduce significantly the number of ex-Ba'athis who 13 would be excluded from holding any office, to limit it, 14 as it were, to the very top echelons. 15 I think that also in part reflected even a Shia 16

17 recognition that they had no civil service anymore, no 18 teachers, no doctors. There was a whole corps, as you 19 say, of professionals, who were either excluded or, as 20 the insurgency or sectarian violence got worse, started 21 moving out from Baghdad and, indeed, from Iraq 22 altogether. So there was a recognition that they had no 23 underpinning official bureaucracy.

24 THE CHAIRMAN: Just as a tail-piece, was the gradual

25 mitigation of that extreme initial de-Ba'athification

1 policy also a means of securing progressively more Sunni buy-in to their different and reduced status? 2 3 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: It was certainly one of the many Sunni 4 requirements for opting into the political process, but 5 there were many more. There were some more fundamental ones which were to 6 do with the face, the nature, of Iraqi Government which 7 8 they still viewed as being essentially a Kurdish/Shia coalition . 9 So whether or not they were Ba'athis, they didn't feel that they, Sunni Arabs, were being given proper 10 positions in government or in the security forces or 11 anywhere else, and even if they were, that their views, 12 once there, were being listened to. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: A more general question, which in a way is 14 connected, I suppose. You mentioned the problems of 15 acquiring competencies in economic and budgetary matters 16 by the interim and transitional governments. It's 17 18 experience in, certainly, other places, that in 19 a divided community, the most difficult area in which to 20 secure, as it were, acceptance, once security is 21 reasonably under control, is policing and justice. 22 Almost always problems with corruption, penetration by 23 outside elements, the feeling that police and justice 24 authorities represent one side of the divided community. 25 I just wonder how far in post-invasion Iraq that was the

1 position, given the relative separation of the different communities, the Kurds in the north, the Sunni centre 2 and the Shia south? 3 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: 4 5 I think one would focus on Baghdad, whose sectarian make-up changed, I think probably quite significantly -6 the figures are very unclear -7 8 over the three or four years 9 that I was involved in Iraq. So although you may have a largely Shia south and 10 Kurdish north and you could find perhaps Sunni Arabs to 11 police the Sunni Arab areas, it was more complex than 12 that when it came to Baghdad. It was even more 13 complex than that inside their own communities, because 14 in the case of the Shia community, there was tremendous 15 tension between the constituent parts, to which you only 16 have to look at the four months it took on each occasion 17 for the Shia community, in effect, to agree 18 19 a Prime Minister. But that was so more broadly in the Shia 20 21 communities. Basra is a classic case in point, with 22 rival militias and rival political interests effectively 23 fighting it out on the streets. So infiltration of one 24 party or one militia into a police force 25 produced its own problems even within the Shia

1 community.

2	But in terms of Baghdad, it was further exacerbated
3	because there was a distrust on the part of
4	one community to have policing from members
5	of the other, particularly
6	after 2005 into 2006, when the Ministry of Interior at
7	that period had become
8	subject to heavy intrusion, infiltration of militias
9	into the police service.
10	THE CHAIRMAN: Just to conclude, is it reasonable we have to
11	look at lessons learned for the future, and no situation
12	completely resembles a predecessor, that nonetheless,
13	the need to pay particular attention in preparatory
14	planning to policing and justice given the problems that
15	had chronically afternoon in different societies at
16	different times should be a higher priority than it has
17	been, at least, in the Iraq case? I'm talking about
18	pre-planning now.
19	MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: One of the major failures was the
20	inability to provide security across the scene. I mean,
21	not just military, but also policing. But the need
22	for pre-planning is a lesson, so too realism about
23	the length of time it takes to train. When the police service
24	effectively collapsed in April 2004, when it was first
25	tested with the insurgency, my recollection was that the

1 assessment subsequently was that only 5,000 of the whole police force were adequately trained. So no wonder they 2 disappeared from the police stations. 3 4 Quality rather than quantity is one of the lessons. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Sir Roderic? 5 SIR RODERIC LYNE: I would just like to take a look at the 6 change with the appearance of Nouri Al-Maliki as 7 8 Prime Minister, nominated on 22 April 2006. I believe 9 that you met him soon after that, while you were on 10 a visit to Iraq, and then, four months later, you arrived there as an Ambassador. 11 What were Prime Minister Maliki's priorities when he 12 took up office and how did his relationship with the 13 United Kingdom, the British Government, yourself, 14 15 develop? MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: His priorities, as he described them to 16 me, both, as you say, when I saw him on a visit and then 17 as Ambassador -- his priorities were declared to be 18 19 reconciliation and it was right to give him the benefit 20 of the doubt, that that was indeed one of his key 21 objectives. I think there is a question mark over what reconciliation means to Iraqis. That's a longer, more 22 23 complex debate. 24 One of his other priorities, and he used to say this 25 often, was that he wanted to be in charge of deploying

1 Iraqi security forces around Iraq and in determining the operations that they should be engaged upon. So there 2 was a strong instinct on his part to assume 3 the role of a sovereign Prime Minister. 4 He had another major concern, though, which was, 5 I guess, borne out of the length of time it took to agree 6 his appointment as Prime Minister -and that was that 7 8 he felt that he did not command the loyalty even of 9 those within his own Shia Alliance, or indeed of other communities, the Kurdish or the Sunni Arab. So I think 10 it is fair to say that he was always concerned about the 11 risk that other political leaders were about to 12 undermine him. 13 SIR RODERIC LYNE: His relationship with the British? 14 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: It was varied. At some points, it was 15 very suspicious, at some points it was very warm. 16 Не greatly enjoyed and respected the company of our 17 Prime Minister and Ministers. He also very much 18 19 wanted Britain to get much more engaged in Iraq, specifically on commercial and trade matters. 20 21 But there were two things that sometimes got in the way of an easy relationship. One was his 22 23 suspicion that we collectively were too closely aligned 24 with Ayad Allawi and that used to come through in some

25

38

rather extraordinary ways directly to me. There was

1 supposedly a conference, held in London

2 soon after I arrived in the summer of 2006, of what was 3 described as Iraqi opposition, at which Ayad Allawi was 4 allegedly featuring, but we could never find that such 5 a conference took place. Certainly we had no role in 6 it.

Then soon after that, the EU presidency, the Germans 7 8 at the time, invited Ayad Allawi in Baghdad to come and 9 address the EU ambassadors and I told them that that was not a sensible idea in the current context of suspicion, 10 so it didn't happen. Nevertheless, for some reason 11 I still cannot fathom, we were fingered, Britain and 12 I personally were fingered as being 13 responsible somehow for even trying to organise such 14 15 a meeting.

16 So there was a sort of underlying suspicion of, 17 I guess, our commitment to him. I spent a lot of 18 time reassuring him that we were completely and 19 utterly committed to him as a Prime Minister, and 20 our Prime Minister did the same.

The second piece of dust in the works was Basra.I think in part it was because

Prime Minister Maliki had around him a number of people whose agenda may have been to poison his mind about what we were engaged on in Basra, but he did react extremely

1 strongly to those occasions, one in particular, where he felt that the British forces in Basra had overstepped 2 the line in terms of intruding on the sovereignty of 3 4 Iraq. This was usually in the case of arrests of people who were in the Iraqi security forces or were 5 communing with the Iraqi security forces and were 6 criminal, and we needed to get them off the streets. 7 8 He reacted very, very strongly to that. Looking back at the exchanges I had with him, many exchanges 9 I had with him on Basra, I am left with a sense that he 10 was, as it were, split two ways; one wanting the British 11 to hand over in Basra at as early a stage as 12 possible, but at the same time being very conscious that 13 he, as a Shia Prime Minister, could not afford to 14 assume control of Basra if it were then just 15 to dissolve into civil conflict. So he wanted to make 16 sure that the transition was done in a way that ensured there 17 18 was sustainable peace. The two tended, I think, to work against each other 19 20 in his mind, but I sense that the stronger was to take 21 Iraqi control of Basra, as soon as possible, 22 and he felt that we were dragging our feet. 23 SIR RODERIC LYNE: In terms of this increasing violence in 24 Basra, did you feel that the British forces and the 25 coalition, and indeed the British Government in

1	Whitehall, were reacting quickly enough to the
2	increasing violence, the security problem there?
3	MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: I think I would say that
4	the reaction in Basra was always going to be that the
5	decisions needed to be taken on the ground in Basra. The
6	framework in military operations, security operations
7	against the security targets and the development of
8	a reconstruction programme was,
9	by the time I was
10	ambassador in Baghdad, pretty
11	well-known and understood.
12	There were, of course, some occasions where the
13	British military commander would
14	have needed to go back to his military bosses
15	to get top cover for his operational plan, and in
16	a characteristic military way that was done very
17	quickly. But in terms of seeking reaction or guidance
18	from London, I think it was pretty clear what the
19	objectives were. Our task was to try and implement
20	them.
21	SIR RODERIC LYNE: You have described the difficulty for you
22	of getting frequently from Baghdad to Basra, and as you
23	have just said, the decisions needed to be taken by
24	people on the ground there.
25	To what extent was there effectively a division of

1 labour, and we had one group of people, civilian and military, in Baghdad, another group, a large group, in 2 the southern region of Iraq and they were run as 3 4 separate entities rather than under a single control? 5 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: I think in large part that's a fair description. One had 6 to presume competence on the part of the operation down 7 8 in Basra to deliver the objectives which we were all 9 signed up to. The one point where the integration had to be close and wasn't always achieved was to ensure 10 that security operations down in Basra particularly did 11 not fall foul of political considerations up in Baghdad. 12 This was not just a problem between Basra and 13 Baghdad, it was a problem on some occasions between the 14 coalition military structures and the Iraqi political 15 structures; there was an assumption on the 16 coalition military that such an operation was necessary 17 for security reasons, without necessarily 18 19 feeling the need to clear permission for that operation to take 20 place from the Iraqi political leadership. That's when the 21 backlash from the Iraqi political leadership was most 22 felt. 23 So it was trying to foresee the political 24 consequences of some of the security operations that 25 I found was my main role in Baghdad, as regards Basra.

SIR RODERIC LYNE: So there was a tension effectively 1 2 between trying to maximise our military effectiveness in the region and the need to make sure that we had the 3 4 right level of consent from the capital? 5 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: We were exploring all the time where the boundaries lay between operations 6 down in Basra against an overall objective agreed by 7 8 the Iraqi Government, and clearing out 9 the criminal elements from the security forces. But there were sensitivities that sometimes we were ignorant 10 of when we trod on them. 11 SIR RODERIC LYNE: Was there also a tension in the British 12 Government between those who argued that the priority 13 should be on getting things right in Baghdad and from 14 the centre and those who were saying that our prime role 15 was to make a success of the southern region, where we 16 had the lead responsibility within the coalition? 17 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: I didn't feel that personally. I felt 18 19 both were important and that it wasn't an 20 either/or. 21 SIR RODERIC LYNE: Did we at any point come close to 22 achieving the objective that had been set very early on, 23 perhaps even before the conflict, of doing an exemplary 24 job in the southern region of Iraq? 25 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: Sorry, could you just ...?

1 SIR RODERIC LYNE: Before the conflict began, the idea came up within Whitehall that if we were given, as we were 2 3 clearly going to be given, the responsibility for both 4 the military and the civilian aspects for the southern 5 region of Iraq after the conflict, that we, the British, should do an "exemplary" job there. The word 6 "exemplary" acquired a certain significance at the time 7 8 in Whitehall, and we have discussed with the previous 9 witnesses the question of whether the means were willed 10 to achieve that end. Did we in practice ever come close to it or did we 11 really fall well below the benchmark that at one stage 12

13 had been set for ourselves?

MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: The concept of doing an exemplary job 14 in Basra I don't think was one that by the time I came 15 on to the scene I was conscious of or working to. 16 The reality was, as it became clear in the rest 17 18 of Iraq, that you were dealing with what was almost 19 always going to have to be a manageable level of violence, while 20 creating the conditions for handing over a sustainable 21 operation, in the case of Basra, to the 22 Iraqi Government; in other words, in circumstances in which 23 Iraqi political and security authorities could manage 24 the area and develop it. 25 SIR RODERIC LYNE: Finally, can I ask you a much broader

1 question? You have had a very long period of service in the Middle East and working with the region before, 2 during and after your time dealing with Iraq. From that 3 4 perspective, what would be your assessment of the overall effect that British participation in the action 5 in Iraq has had on the United Kingdom's standing, its 6 reputation in the Middle East and indeed in the Islamic 7 8 world? 9 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: I think it is less now than -- I think the effect 10 is diminishing. I am struck, over the two years I have been in Cairo --11 SIR RODERIC LYNE: Diminishing? 12 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: Diminishing in the sense of the 13 14 negative reaction. SIR RODERIC LYNE: Negative. I didn't ascribe negative or 15 positive, so perhaps you would like to start with the 16 17 bottom line. MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: There was a sense on the part of Arab 18 19 governments that the coalition 20 action in Iraq had opened the door to Iran into the 21 region; that however much they may have disliked the 22 Ba'athist regime and Saddam individually, Iraq was in 23 effect for the Arab region the bulwark against the intrusion of Iranian influences; and that the lack of 24 25 political control in Iraq after the invasion gave the

1 Iranians all sorts of opportunities to insert themselves in a way hitherto not available to them into regional politics. 2 I still hear that argument from Arabs, 3 4 that 2003 broke that bulwark against Iranian intrusion. I'm talking about perceptions. 5 The reaction in the Arab world -- I can't speak 6 about the Muslim world outside the Arab world such as 7 8 Indonesia and Malaysia -- to 9 three or four years after 2003 was very hostile 10 because of the perceived occupation of Iraq by multinational forces and the casualties suffered by 11 12 Iraqis. As the Iraqi Government has assumed a greater 13 control over affairs inside Iraq, the reaction, 14 certainly as seen from the last two years sitting in 15 Cairo, has been, to my surprise, much less focused on 16 the negative effects of our involvement in Iraq. They 17 still think there is a long way to go and that it is, in 18 19 political terms, a risk and that the risk of collapse of 20 order in Iraq is still there, which would have profound 21 effects in their view on their own countries and 22 their own internal politics. 23 But at the same time they are engaging with the current 24 government in Baghdad; 25 in the case of the Egyptians, for example, they have

1 sent an ambassador there and are looking for opportunities to help rebuild the Iraqi economy and 2 Iraqi society. So my sense is they are looking at the 3 4 future and looking to rebuild Iraq and that the high levels of hostility towards the coalition involvement in 5 Iraq have diminished. 6 SIR RODERIC LYNE: But there is still perhaps a risk that 7 8 some lasting damage has been done both to the region and 9 to Britain's reputation in the region as a result of 10 doing what at the time the British Government felt was the right thing to do? 11 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: To be perfectly honest, I thought at 12 the time it would be. I'm not sure, with my experience 13 of the last two years, I still hold that view. I think 14 the reputation of Britain still depends more on 15 Palestine than on what we did in Iraq. 16 SIR RODERIC LYNE: Thank you. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Usha? 18 BARONESS USHA PRASHAR: Can I just take you back to 2004 19 20 because you said that the interim government wasn't 21 prepared for the role. What were the reasons for that? 22 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: The Coalition Provisional Authority 23 effectively ran all the ministries. There was no civil 24 service, there was no effective security force and there 25 was profound distrust between the political parties, the

1	political leadership, and the ministries it inherited
2	had been run, effectively, as sectarian fiefdoms. It
3	was very clear in some ministries.
4	So what they were inheriting was pitiable. The
5	Prime Minister had effectively no supporting
6	secretariat. It was one of the areas that we were very
7	closely involved in, actually creating his office,
8	creating a Cabinet Office secretariat that would service
9	him, and it was run out of a couple of rooms. So,
10	physically and in terms of human resource, the
11	capability was not there.
12	BARONESS USHA PRASHAR: Would you say that it is something
13	that the CPA or we or the United States would have done
14	something about?
15	MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: Should have?
16	BARONESS USHA PRASHAR: Hm-mm.
17	MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: Yes. Honestly, I would have said yes.
18	But can I just
19	BARONESS USHA PRASHAR: Yes.
20	MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: Given the context, there was
21	not at that stage any Iraqi civil service substructure
22	to call on, it is very difficult to see how one could
23	have done it, because what
24	I don't think what would have worked, although
25	in effect it was what we had to rely on to a large extent on it, was

1 to draft in a large number of foreign advisers to perform the functions. 2 BARONESS USHA PRASHAR: Can I briefly bring you back to 3 4 2007? In response to Sir Lawrence, you said that the surge in -- and the validity of the objective and that 5 you were wrong. How did the United States sort of react 6 to our failure to surge? 7 8 MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: It didn't ask us to surge. It was very 9 much an American affair and it was both a security surge 10 and an economic surge, it was all hands on deck as far as the Americans were 11 12 concerned. The only way it affected us was, 13 because they were throwing everything at it and had to 14 deliver -- again, don't forget they had to deliver 15 by September 2007 assurances to Congress on a whole 16 series of benchmarks that Congress had set, some to do 17 with passing legislation, some to do with security. 18 They were throwing everything at being able to make the 19 argument to Congress that those benchmarks had been 20 21 sufficiently met for the surge to continue. 22 But it was very much an American affair. 23 The only way it affected us was that they could 24 not quite understand why we didn't have all hands on 25 deck too, which speaks to sort of

1 an underlying difference, I think,

between us -- not all the way through, but for the last 2 3 three or four years, where the Americans had been effectively on a war footing with Iraq in a way that 4 I don't sense that we have been. 5 BARONESS USHA PRASHAR: Thank you. 6 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Martin? 8 SIR MARTIN GILBERT: May I ask you briefly about the Kurds? 9 Sir Peter Ricketts told us last week about the discussions in London in the summer of 2002 about the 10 end state, what we hoped would emerge after the fall of 11 Saddam, and this very much stressed the unity of Iraq, 12 that it wouldn't be fragmented and broken up and also, 13 of course, our northern Fly Zone policy was very much 14 15 geared towards helping the Kurds. I wondered from your time as ambassador: what was 16 our relationship with the Kurds and how far are we, as 17 Britain, able to engage with the Kurds and maintain the 18 19 Kurdish element within the sort of Iraqi politic? MR DOMINIC ASQUITH: President Talabani, 20 21 from one part of the Kurdish community, was in many ways 22 looked on as the father of Iraq. It went with his whole 23 demeanour and the way he comported himself. His

24 counterpart, from the KDP side, Massoud Barzani,

25 remained up in the north, and I often felt that the

1 longer he stayed up there, the more disconnected he used 2 to get from the rest of Iraq. He used occasionally to come down to Baghdad, when there was serious political 3 work to do, which was an important signal that he 4 5 recognised that the Kurdish region had to be still part of the whole polity, but I think by instinct and 6 background he was not fully bought into that, 7 8 enthusiastically bought into that idea. 9 At the same time, Kurds are realistic, although they have a very, very strong 10 nationalist Kurdish instinct. They were realistic that they 11 could not exist in the foreseeable future except as part of 12 Iraq. So they had to be part of the system. That 13 wouldn't stop them expanding the boundaries of what was 14 permissible in terms of autonomy or as separate 15 structures in the Kurdish region, specifically when it 16 came to oil and to security forces. But at heart 17 I think their objective, even Barzani's objective, was 18 to preserve as much autonomy as they had achieved under 19 20 Saddam but to recognise that they were essentially and 21 potentially a decisive third force in Iraqi politics. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Are there any final comments you 23 would like to make that we haven't been able to cover 24 this afternoon? 25 MR DOMINIC ASOUITH: No.

1 THE CHAIRMAN: In that case I will close this session, with 2 our thanks to our witness and to all of those who have 3 been here this afternoon.

Just to remind you regarding next week, we resume at 11.30 on Monday morning. That will take us back into the time sequence. We will be looking at events in Baghdad, before Mr Asquith arrived, in 2004/2005. Then we shall see a number of diplomatic and military witnesses during the week about the invasion itself and what happened afterwards.

11 So, with that and our thanks indeed to the Queen 12 Elizabeth Conference Centre for supporting this through 13 the last two weeks, I will close this week's work and 14 say thank you all very much.

15 (3.37 pm)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16 (The Inquiry adjourned until 11.30 am on Monday, 17 7 December 2009) 18